

# Advances in Neuropsychanalysis, Attachment Theory, and Trauma: Implications for Self Psychology by *Allan Schore* 12 October 2004

In 1971, Heinz Kohut, trained in neurology and then psychoanalysis, published 'The Analysis of the Self', a detailed exposition of the central role of the self in human existence. This classic volume of both twentieth century psychoanalysis and psychology was more than a collection of various clinical observations, rather it represented an overarching integrated theory of the development, structuralization, psychopathogenesis, and psychotherapy of disorders of the self. Although some of these ideas were elaborations of previous psychoanalytic principles, a large number of his concepts, including an emphasis on self rather than ego, signified an innovative departure from mainstream psychoanalysis and yet a truly creative addition to Freud's theory. Kohut expanded the theoretical and clinical conceptions of self psychology in his second volume, 'The Restoration of the Self' (1977) and finally in 'How Does Analysis Cure?' (1984). Over the course of his career he continually attempted to deepen his understanding of the four basic problems he initially addressed in his seminal volume: how do early relational affective transactions with the social environment facilitate the emergence of self?(development of the self), how are these experiences internalized into maturing self regulating structures? (structuralization of the self), how do early forming deficits of self structure lead to later self pathologies? (psychopathogenesis), and how can the therapeutic relationship lead to a restoration of self? (the mechanism of psychotherapeutic change).

In this paper I want to suggest that although Kohut's idea represent perhaps the most significant revision of classical psychoanalysis in the last 50 years, despite the fact that he was originally trained as a neurologist, he, like his contemporaries, was highly ambivalent about the incorporation of scientific data into the core of psychoanalysis and into the core of self psychology. Although there have been certain notable exceptions, this ambivalence still exists within current self psychology, even now when an interdisciplinary perspective is rapidly emerging in a number of other clinical and scientific disciplines that border psychoanalysis. In an earlier work I suggested that the time is right for a rapprochement between psychoanalysis, the study of the unconscious mind, and the biological sciences (Schore, 1997c). Developmental psychoanalysis is currently generating a complex model of the early ontogeny of the biological substrate of the human unconscious (Schore, 2003a), one that can potentially bridge the relational and intrapsychic realms of the unconscious mind. And neuropsychanalysis is also contributing to this effort, by identifying the brain systems involved in the development of the dynamic unconscious (Schore, 2002a). Writing in the neuropsychanalytic literature, Watt (2000) describes the critical importance of recent work on '(neuro) development': "In many ways, this is the great frontier in neuroscience where all of our theories will be subject to the most acid of acid tests. And many of them I suspect will be found wanting. Clearly, affective processes, and specifically the vicissitudes of attachment, are primary drivers in neural development (the very milieu in which development takes place, within which the system cannot develop)" (p. 191).

In the following I will first present a brief overview of Kohut's concepts that represent the core of self psychology. In subsequent sections I integrate interdisciplinary data in order to construct a psychoneurobiological conception of the development and structuralization of the self, focusing on the experience-dependent maturation of the early developing right brain. Then, in a major focus of this work, I apply this developmental neuropsychanalytic perspective to the psychopathogenesis of severe deficits in the self system. In particular, I articulate a model of the self psychology and neurobiology of infant trauma and the etiology of posttraumatic stress disorder and borderline states. And finally I will offer thoughts on the neurobiology of regulatory structures that result from psychotherapeutic change.

## **Introduction: Core Concepts of Kohut's Model: Development of the Self**

Perhaps Kohut's most original and outstanding intellectual contribution was his developmental construct of selfobject. Indeed, self psychology is built upon a fundamental developmental principle - that parents with mature psychological organizations serve as selfobjects that perform critical regulatory functions for the infant who possesses an immature, incomplete psychological organization. The child is thus provided, at nonverbal levels beneath conscious awareness, with selfobject experiences that directly effect the vitalization and structural cohesion of the self. According to Wolf (1988): "The most fundamental finding of self psychology is that the emergence of the self requires more than the inborn tendency to organize experience. Also required is the presence of others, technically described as objects, which provide certain types of experiences that will evoke the emergence and maintenance of the self" (p. 11). The selfobject construct contains two important theoretical components. First, the concept of the mother-infant pair as a self-selfobject unit emphasizes that early development is essentially characterized as interdependence between self and objects in a system. This core concept of Kohut's theory was a major intellectual impetus for the expansion of the relational perspective in psychoanalysis. Indeed, his emphasis on the dyadic aspects of unconscious communications shifted psychoanalysis from a solely intrapsychic to a more balanced intrapsychic-relational perspective. This challenged psychoanalysis to explore mechanisms that could integrate both the realms of a one-person psychology and a two-person psychology. The second component of the selfobject construct is the concept of regulation. In his developmental speculations Kohut (1971, 1977) states that the infant's dyadic reciprocal regulatory transactions with selfobjects allows for the maintenance of his internal homeostatic equilibrium. These regulating self-selfobject experiences provide the particular intersubjective affective experiences that

evoke the emergence and maintenance of the self (Kohut, 1984). Kohut's idea that regulatory processes and structures are fundamentally involved with affect is supported in current interdisciplinary studies that are highlighting not just the centrality of affect, but also affect regulation. Regulation thus occupies the intellectual core of Kohut's model, just as it does in the works of Freud (Schore, 1997c), Bowlby (Schore, 2000a, b, 2001c), and Klein (Schore, 2002d). Despite his intense interest in the early ontogeny of the self, over the course of his career Kohut never spelled out the precise developmental details of his model, nor did he attend to the significant advances in developmental psychoanalysis that were occurring simultaneously to his own theorizing. There is now agreement that current psychoanalysis is "anchored in its scientific base in developmental psychology and in the biology of attachment and affects" (Cooper, 1987, p. 83). And yet it is only recently that self psychology has begun to incorporate the broad range of developmental research into its theoretical model. The integration of current attachment theory in very recent volumes of *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* is an example of that effort (Diamond & Blatt, 1999).

### **Structuralization of the Self**

A cardinal principle of Kohut's model dictates that as a result of self-selfobject experiences the infant becomes able to perform the drive-regulating, integrating, and adaptive functions that had previously been performed by the external object. Specifically, he posits that phase-appropriate maternal optimal frustrations of the infant elicit "transmuting internalization," the developmental process by which selfobject function is internalized by the infant and psychological regulatory structures are formed. Indeed, the essential experience and definition of the self are built out of internalized selfobject functions that allow for the emergence of more complex psychological regulatory structures. These ideas on psychic structure, in addition to the aforementioned effects of regulation on the maintenance of homeostatic equilibrium, clearly direct the theory towards not a psychology but a psychobiology of the self. However, Kohut, like Freud before him (Schore, 1997c), eschewed his earlier neurological knowledge, and attempted to create a purely psychological model of the unconscious systems that underly human functioning. Three days before his death he declared, "I do not believe, however hard as it was tried, that there is a possibility to create such a misalliance as psychobiology, or biopsychology or something of that order" (Kohut, 1981, p. 529). When self psychology, like psychoanalysis in general, discards the biological realm of the body, when it over-emphasizes the cognitive and verbal realms, it commits Descartes' error, "the separation of the most refined operations of mind from the structure and operation of a biological organism" (Damasio, 1994, p. 250). Damasio (1994) now describes the essential adaptive function of the brain: "The overall function of the brain is to be well informed about what goes on in the rest of the body, the body proper; about what goes on in itself; and about the environment surrounding the organism, so that suitable survivable accommodations can be achieved between the organism and the environment" (p. 90). Indeed, according to Damasio, the self is a "repeatedly reconstructed biological state" that "endows our experience with subjectivity."

This same critique has been leveled against the heavy emphasis on cognition in developmental psychoanalysis. Lieberman (1996) asserts, "In the last two decades...efforts at understanding the subjective world of the infant have focused primarily on mental representations as the building blocks of inner experience. The baby's body, with its pleasures and struggles, has largely been missing from this picture" (p. 289). In upcoming sections of this paper I will suggest that very recent information on the developmental neurobiology of the selfobject relationship (Schore, 1994, Mollon, 2001) and the early developing right hemisphere, which is more deeply connected into the body than the left, can deepen Kohut's concept of self. Indeed, it is now thought that the function of the right hemisphere is to "maintain a coherent, continuous, and unified sense of self" (Devinsky, 2000). Current neuroscience is now intensely interested in "the synaptic self," especially the nonconscious "implicit self" (LeDoux, 2002), and in "self-representation in neural systems," representations which "coordinate inner body signals to generate survival-appropriate inner regulation" that allow the organism "to act as a coherent whole" (Churchland, 2002, p. 310). The biological organism, the body, must be brought into the core of self psychology.

### **Psychopathogenesis**

Kohut proposes that a defective self and an impaired regulatory structure lie at the foundation of early forming psychopathologies. He (Kohut, 1971) describes "the role of specific environmental factors (the personality of the parents, for example; certain traumatic external events) in the genesis of the developmental arrest" (p. 11), and speculates that when "the mother's response are grossly unempathic and unreliable, no transmuting internalization can take place, and the psyche does not develop the various internal functions which re-establish narcissistic equilibrium" (p. 65). In a continuation of this principle, current writers in self psychology affirm that affect dysregulation is a central principle of psychopathogenesis. According to Lichtenberg (1996) and his colleagues, self psychologists have demonstrated that disturbed regulation of physiological requirements in patients result from primary disturbances or deficiencies in [selfobject] experiences (p. 143). Self psychology has recently become very interested in the problem of trauma, an area of controversy since the dawn of psychoanalysis. In his earliest writings Freud accepted Janet's (1889) idea that dissociation is the central force in psychopathology, but he later rejected this for his repression theory. In classic writings Janet (in Van der Kolk, 1996) postulated that "all [traumatized] patients seem to have the evolution of their lives checked; they are attached to an insurmountable object" (p. 53), and that the major psychological consequence of trauma is "the breakdown of the adaptive mental processes leading to the maintenance of an integrated sense of self" (in

Liotti, 1999, p. 293, italics added). In later sections on psychopathogenesis I will suggest that recent studies in developmental traumatology indicate that experiences with a traumatizing caregiver negatively impact the child's attachment security, right brain maturation, and sense of self. I will also propose that relational trauma and dissociation are common elements of the histories of borderline personality disorders, a clinical population of increasing interest to self psychology.

## **Psychotherapy**

The selfobject and regulatory concepts embedded in Kohut's developmental model clearly suggest that affect and affect regulation are a primary focus of the treatment of early forming personality disorders. Indeed, Kohut's major contribution to clinical psychoanalysis is the expansion of its techniques to include the treatment of the deficits of affect regulation of early forming personality disorders, especially narcissistic personality disorders. In therapeutic models of such patients, all schools of contemporary psychoanalysis are now emphasizing the centrality of affect. Self psychology has been at the forefront of this trend, and it has recently been asserted that "Kohut makes major contributions to the understanding of emotional life, and his conceptualizations have far-reaching implications for the understanding and treatment of emotional states" (A.M. Siegel, 1996, p. 1). Furthermore Kohut (1984) prescribes that "psychoanalysis cures by the laying down of psychological structure" (p. 98).

In upcoming sections I will argue that neuropsychanalysis is now in a position to offer important information about the precise nature of the affect regulating structures described by self psychology, and can elaborate the critical mechanisms by which the self-selfobject transactions embedded in the infant-mother and patient-therapist dyads create a growth-facilitating environment for the experience-dependent maturation of these same structures. With this introduction in mind, throughout the following integration of interdisciplinary data into self psychology, keep in mind the words of Kohut's colleague, Michael Basch (1995): "The more I know about how we are designed to function - what neurophysiology, infant research, affect theory, cognitive psychology, semantics, information theory, evolutionary biology, and other pertinent disciplines can tell me about human development - the better I am prepared to be empathic with a patient's communication at a particular time in his or her treatment" (p. 372).

## **Development: Regulated Affective Communications, Self-Selfobject Interactions, and the Dyadic Genesis of a Secure Attachment**

In a recent review of Kohut's work, Siegel (1996) concludes, "Although developmental concepts sit at the heart of Kohut's theory, the microscopic elements of early development are not available for study. The infant's critical early states are unavailable to psychoanalysis" (p. 197). The field of infant research, however, with its ingenious methods of investigation, does have access to the information we seek. Its observations are rich and can provide psychoanalysis with valuable information and explanations (p. 198). Towards that end, in 'Affect Regulation and the Origin of the Self' and subsequent works, I suggest that very recent research from developmental neuropsychanalysis and affective neuroscience can make important contributions to a deeper understanding of how early attachment experiences indelibly affect the trajectory of the self over the course of the life span. The essential task of the first year of human life is the creation of a secure attachment bond of emotional communication between the infant and primary caregiver. Indeed, research now suggests that "learning how to communicate represents perhaps the most important developmental process to take place during infancy" (Papousek & Papousek, 1997, p. 42). Developmental researchers of infant-mother mutual gaze transactions observe: "Face-to-face interactions, emerging at approximately 2 months of age, are highly arousing, affect-laden, short interpersonal events that expose infants to high levels of cognitive and social information. To regulate the high positive arousal, mothers and infants...synchronize the intensity of their affective behavior within lags of split seconds" (Feldman, Greenbaum, and Yirmiya, 1999, p. 223). These dyadic experiences of "affect synchrony" (Kohutian "mirroring") occur in the first expression of positively charged social play, what Trevarthen (1993) terms "primary intersubjectivity," and at this time they are patterned by an infant-leads-mother-follows sequence. In this communicational matrix both match psychobiological states and then simultaneously adjust their social attention, stimulation, and accelerating arousal to each other's responses. In such synchronized contexts of "mutually attuned selective cueing," the infant learns to send specific social cues to which the mother has responded, thereby reflecting "an anticipatory sense of response of the other to the self, concomitant with an accommodation of the self to the other" (Bergman, 1999, p. 96). According to Lester, Hoffman, and Brazelton (1985) "synchrony develops as a consequence of each partner's learning the rhythmic structure of the other and modifying his or her behavior to fit that structure" (p. 24). In order to enter into this synchronized communication, the mother must be psychobiologically attuned not so much to the child's overt behavior as to the reflections of the rhythms of his internal state. These are critical events, because they represent a fundamental opportunity to practice the interpersonal synchronization of biological rhythms. And so, in these exchanges of affect synchrony, as the mother and infant match each other's temporal and affective patterns, each recreates an inner psychophysiological state similar to the partner's. In such positively charged heightened affective moments, not only the tempo of their engagement but also their disengagement and reengagement is coordinated. The more the psychobiologically attuned mother tunes her activity level to the infant during periods of social engagement, the more she allows him to recover quietly in periods of disengagement, and the more she attends to the child's reinitiating cues for reengagement, the more synchronized their interaction. These mutually attuned

synchronized interactions are fundamental to the healthy affective development of the infant. The context of a specifically fitted interaction between the infant and mother has been described as a resonance between two systems attuned to each other by corresponding properties (Sander, 1991). When synchronously vibrating with a neighboring object, a resonant system produces the largest and most prolonged possible response to the object. Resonance refers to the condition in which an object or system is subjected to an oscillating signal having a frequency at or close to that of a natural vibration of the object or system and the resulting amplification of the natural vibration. In other words, an amplification of state especially occurs when external sensory stimulation frequency coincides with the organism's genetically-encoded endogenous rhythms. The transfer of emotional information is thus intensified in resonant contexts. This means that the resonating caregiver does more than reflect back the infant's state. Rather, in co-creating a context of intersubjective resonance, her role as a "biological mirror" (Papoušek & Papoušek, 1979) is more precisely described as an "amplifying mirror" (Schoré, 1994). It is now well established that the primary caregiver is not always attuned and optimally mirroring, that there are frequent moments of misattunement in the dyad, ruptures of the attachment bond. The disruption of attachment bonds in infancy leads to a regulatory failure and an "impaired autonomic homeostasis" (Reite & Capitanio, 1985). Studies of interactive attunement following dyadic misattunement, of "interactive repair" (Tronick, 1989), support Kohut's (1977) assertion that the parental selfobject acts to "remedy the child's homeostatic imbalance." In this pattern of "disruption and repair" (Beebe & Lachmann, 1994), the "good enough" caregiver who induces a stress response in her infant through a misattunement, reinvokes in a timely fashion a reattunement, a regulation of the infant's negatively charged affective state. Thirty years ago Kohut (1971) speculated that phase-appropriate maternal optimal frustration elicits the developmental process by which selfobject function is internalized. Current developmental data are consonant with this, although interdisciplinary research emphasizes that not just optimal stressful frustration but interactive repair is essential to the internalization of a structural system that can regulate stressful negative affect. The dual regulatory processes of affect synchrony that creates states of positive arousal and interactive repair that modulates states of negative arousal are the fundamental building blocks of attachment and its associated emotions. They also allow for the maximization of the communication of emotional states within an intimate dyad, and represents the psychobiological underpinning of empathy, a phenomenon of intense interest to self psychology. Kohut (1977) describes that as a result of the empathic merger of the child's rudimentary psyche with the maternal selfobject's highly developed psychic organization, the child experiences the feeling states of the selfobject as if they were his own. Selfobjects are thus external psychobiological regulators that facilitate the regulation of affective experiences, and they act at nonverbal levels beneath conscious awareness to co-create states of maximal cohesion (Wolf, 1988; Palombo, 1992; Schoré, 1994; Mollon, 2001). Lichtenberg et al. (1996) point out that there are two classes of selfobject regulatory experiences, vitalization and soothing. If the former refers to the interactive regulation of positive affect, the latter refers to the interactive regulation of negative affect. These same processes are highlighted in current psychobiological models of attachment, now defined as the interactive regulation of states of biological synchronicity between organisms (Schoré, 2000a, b, 2001a, 2002f). Through the mechanism of the dyadic regulation of emotion, the baby becomes attached to the regulating caregiver who expands opportunities for positive and minimizes negative affective states. Lichtenberg's group (Lichtenberg et al., 1992) also asserts that: "Regulation of state lies at the heart of our theory. In infancy success in regulating smoothness of transitions between states is a principal indicator of the organization and stability of the emergent and core self as well as caregiver success" (p. 162). Emde (1983) identifies the primordial central integrating structure of the nascent self to be the emerging "affective core" which functions to maintain positive mood and to regulate the infant's interactive behavior, and Weil (1985) states that "the infant's initial endowment in interaction with earliest maternal attunement leads to a basic core which contains directional trends for all later functioning" (p. 337).

### **Structuralization: Selfobject and Attachment Dynamics and the Development of the Right Brain**

Kohut (1977) describes a core or "nuclear self," an early developing structure that is the basis for "our experience that our body and mind form a unit in space and a continuum in time" and that "forms the central sector of the personality" (p. 178, italics added). What do we now know about how early selfobject regulatory experiences are internalized into the developing self, and why do emotional communications play such a central role in the evolution of the core self?

In studies of "primary and secondary intersubjectivity" Trevarthen asserts that, "the intrinsic regulators of human brain growth in a child are specifically adapted to be coupled, by emotional communication, to the regulators of adult brains" (1990, p. 357). Even more specifically, researchers (Semrud-Clikeman & Hynd, 1990) now conclude: "The emotional experience of the infant develops through the sounds, images, and pictures that constitute much of an infant's early learning experience, and are disproportionately stored or processed in the right hemisphere during the formative stages of brain ontogeny" (p. 198). Indeed, the early maturing emotion processing right brain is dominant in human infants and for the first three years of life (Schoré, 1994; Chiron et al., 1997). A very recent MRI study of infants reports that the volume of the brain increases rapidly during the first two years, that normal adult appearance is seen at two years and all major fiber tracts can be identified by age three, and that infants under two years show higher right than left hemispheric volumes (Matsuzawa et al., 2001). The human brain growth spurt spans the last trimester of pregnancy through the middle of the second year (Dobbing & Sands, 1973). This exact interval represents a period of accelerated growth of the right hemisphere: The right hemisphere is more advanced than the left in surface features from

about the 25th (gestational) week and this advance persists until the left hemisphere shows a post-natal growth spurt starting in the second year (Trevarthen, 1996, p. 582). The maturation of the socioemotional right hemisphere is experience-dependent, and these experiences are provided in the attachment transactions that occur in the first two years of life (Schore, 1994, 1996, 1998a, b, c, 2000c, d, 2001d). Over the course of a number of works I have suggested that attachment represents synchronized dyadic bioenergetic transmissions (1994), that resonant emotional transactions involve synchronized and ordered directed flows of energy in the infant's and mother's brains (2000b), that the attachment dynamic involves the right brain regulation of biological synchronicity between organisms (2001a), and that the developing self system is located in the early maturing right hemisphere (1994, 2000x). This conception confirms Wolf's (1988) assertion that early selfobject experiences provided to the child directly effect the energetic vigor and structural cohesion of the self. Furthermore, in 'Affect Regulation and the Origin of the Self' (Schore, 1994) I asserted that early emotional development and self development are inextricably intertwined.

The central role of emotions is also highlighted in the work of Damasio (1994), Panksepp (1998), Siegel (1999), and in the current work of LeDoux, who now concludes: "Because emotion systems coordinate learning, the broader the range of emotions that a child experiences the broader will be the emotional range of the self that develops. And because more brain systems are typically active during emotional than during nonemotional states, and the intensity of arousal is greater, the opportunity for coordinated learning across brain systems is greater during emotional states. By coordinating parallel plasticity throughout the brain, emotional states promote the development and unification of the self" (2002, p. 322).

In a number of continuing contributions on this theme I have suggested that the development of affect regulation is an essential organizing principle of emotional development and brain maturation, especially the early developing right brain. This knowledge is directly relevant to self psychology. In my 1994 book I postulated that the regulatory "mirroring" transactions embedded in infant-mother self-selfobject transactions, equated with the emotional communications embedded in the attachment relationship, represent right brain-to-right brain communications. These proposals are now confirmed in research. A very recent neuro-imaging study demonstrates that infants as young as two months show right hemispheric activation when exposed to a woman's face (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002). Modelling of face processing by the right hemisphere of 4 month-old infants (Acerra, Burnod, & de Schonen, 2002) suggests that the eyes play a special role, in line with research showing a right hemisphere dominance for processing information in mutual gaze (Ricciardelli, Ro, & Driver, 2002; Watanabe, Miki, & Kakigi, 2002). This early experience is associated with the development of enduring visual representations of facial expressions of emotion (Pollak & Kistler, 2002). These early right lateralized events also impact the auditory realm - a functional magnetic resonance image (fMRI) study shows that the human maternal response to an infant's cry is accompanied by activation of the mother's right brain (Lorberbaum et al., 2002). The right hemisphere, more so than the left, forms extensive connections with the emotion processing limbic system. The limbic system derives subjective information in terms of emotional feelings that guide behavior (MacLean, 1985), and functions to allow the brain to adapt to a rapidly changing environment and organize new learning (Mesulam, 1998). A large number of studies now indicate that this hemisphere is dominant not only for the nonconscious reception (Adolphs et al., 1996; George et al., 1996; Borod et al., 1998; Nakamura et al., 1999), expression (Borod, Haywood, & Koff, 1997), and communication (Blonder, Bowers, & Heilman, 1991) of emotion, but also for the physiological and cognitive components of emotional processing (Spence, Shapiro, & Zaidel, 1996), the control of spontaneously evoked emotional reactions (Dimberg & Petterson, 2000), the modulation of "primary emotions" (Ross, Hohman, & Buck, 1994), and the adaptive capacity for the regulation of affect (Schore, 1994, 1999a, d,e, 2000b, c, 2001a). Current findings in neuroscience further suggest that "while the left hemisphere mediates most linguistic behaviors, the right hemisphere is important for broader aspects of communication" (Van Lancker & Cummings, 1999, p. 95). The activity of this hemisphere, the "right mind" (Ornstein, 1997), is thus instrumental to the perception of the emotional states of other selves, that is, for empathy (Schore, 1996, Perry et al., 2001). A recent study by Damasio's group reports that "recognizing emotions from visually presented facial expressions requires right somatosensory cortices", and in this manner "we recognize another individual's emotional state by internally generating somatosensory representations that simulate how the individual would feel when displaying a certain facial expression" (Adolphs et al., 2000, p. 2683). This hemisphere is also dominant for evaluating the trustworthiness of faces (Winston et al., 2002). But the right hemisphere is specialized for another essential function of the self system. It is centrally involved in "the analysis of direct information received by the subject from his own body and which, it can easily be understood, is much more closely connected with direct sensation than with verbally logical codes" (Luria, 1973, p. 165). This is due to the fact that this hemisphere, more so than the left, contains extensive reciprocal connections with the autonomic nervous system (ANS), which regulates the functions of every organ in the body. The energy-expending sympathetic and energy-conserving parasympathetic circuits of the ANS generate the involuntary bodily functions that represent the somatic components of all emotional states (Schore, 1994, 2001a, 2002b). An autonomic mode of reciprocal sympathetic-parasympathetic control is behaviorally expressed in an organism that responds alertly and adaptively to a personally meaningful (especially social) stressor, yet as soon as the context is appraised as safe, immediately returns to the relaxed state of autonomic balance. (For a more extensive characterization of the ANS see Schore, 2002e). Information about the operations of the ANS is directly relevant to self psychology's intense interest in regulation of state (Lichtenberg et al., 1992) and affective experience (Lichtenberg et al.,

1996). In fact, Kohut's (1971, 1977) characterization of the infant's continuous dyadic reciprocal interactions with selfobjects describes the rapid, spontaneous, involuntary, nonconscious communications between the mother's and infant's autonomic nervous systems (Basch, 1976; Schore, 1994, 2002d). Kohut's observation of the selfobject's facilitation and maintenance of the infant's homeostatic balance in essence describes the external psychobiological regulation of the infant's organization of a state of sympathetic-parasympathetic autonomic balance (Schore, 1994). According to Siegel, Kohut described "the drives" as an intruding biological principle, not available to empathy or introspection, therefore not a part of psychology," and concluded that the drive concept had significant deleterious consequences for psychoanalysis (A.M. Siegel, 1996, p. 197). However, the deep connections of the right brain, the biological substrate of the human unconscious into both the sympathetic and parasympathetic components of the ANS (Schore, 1996, 1997a, 1999e, 2001a), "the physiological bottom of the mind" (Jackson, 1931), supports Freud's idea about the central role of drive in the system unconscious. The fact that the right hemisphere contains "the most comprehensive and integrated map of the body state available to the brain" (Damasio, 1994, p. 66) indicates that Freud's (1915) definition of "drive" as "the psychical representative of the stimuli originating from the organism and reaching the mind" may be more properly characterized as reaching the "right mind" (Ornstein, 1997). For the rest of the life span the nonverbal right brain, more so than the later maturing verbal left, plays a superior role in the regulation of physiological, endocrinological, neuroendocrine, cardiovascular, and immune functions (Hugdahl, 1995; Sullivan & Gratton, 1999). It's operations are essential to the vital coping functions that support self survival, and therefore to the human stress response (Wittling, 1997). This information about the ANS and the right brain, the locus of the corporeal self, again indicates that self psychology must incorporate current biological data into its models of self and subjectivity. In an important review of the literature on the neurology of self, Devinsky (2000) delineates the known functions of the right hemisphere: identify a corporeal image of self and its relation to the environment, distinguish self from nonself, recognize familiar members of the species, emotionally understand and react to bodily and environment stimuli, recall autobiographical information, relate self to environmental reality and to the social group, and maintain a coherent, continuous, and unified sense of self. Indeed, neurobiological studies now indicate that the right hemisphere is specialized for generating self-awareness and self-recognition, and for the processing of "self-related material" (Schore, 1994; Keenan et al., 2000, 2001; Kircher et al., 2001; Miller et al., 2001; Ruby & Decety, 2001). These and the above data clearly indicate that self psychology is in essence a psychology of the unique functions of the right brain. The highest level of the right brain that processes affective information, the orbitofrontal cortex (Schore, 1994; 1996, 1997a, 1998a, 2000b, 2001a), acts as the "senior executive of the emotional brain" (Joseph, 1996). The maturation of this prefrontal system overlaps and mediates what Stern (1985) terms the developmental achievement of "the subjective self." This cortex functions to refine emotions in keeping with current sensory input, and allows for the adaptive switching of internal bodily states in response to changes in the external environment that are appraised to be personally meaningful (Schore, 1998a). The orbitofrontal system thus acts as a recovery mechanism that efficiently monitors and autoregulates the duration, frequency, and intensity of not only positive but negative affect states. The functioning of the "self-correcting" right hemispheric system is central to self-regulation, the ability to flexibly regulate emotional states through interactions with other humans in interconnected contexts via a two-person psychology, or autoregulation in independent, autonomous contexts via a one-person psychology. The adaptive capacity to shift between these dual regulatory modes, depending upon the social context, emerges out of a history of secure attachment interactions of a maturing biological organism and a psychobiologically attuned social environment. Furthermore, this "thinking part of the emotional brain" is centrally involved in integrating and assigning emotional-motivational significance to cognitive impressions, the association of emotion with ideas and thoughts (Joseph, 1996), and thereby in "critical human functions, such as social adjustment and the control of mood, drive and responsibility, traits that are crucial in defining the 'personality' of an individual" (Cavada & Schultz, 2000, p. 205, my italics). Neurobiological research now indicates that the orbitofrontal system critically contributes to "the integration of past, present, and future experiences, enabling adequate performance in behavioral tasks, social situation, or situations involving survival" (Lipton et al., 1999, p. 356). But perhaps the most complex of all functions of the right prefrontal cortex is what the neuroscientists Wheeler, Stuss, and Tulving (1997) call "the ability to mentally travel through time" - the capacity to mentally represent and become aware of subjective experiences in the past, present, and future. This unique capacity to self reflect comes on line at 18 months (the time of orbitofrontal maturation): ...the [right] prefrontal cortex, in conjunction with its reciprocal connections with other cortical and subcortical structures, empowers healthy human adults with the capacity to consider the self's extended existence throughout time. The most complete expression of this capacity, auto-noetic awareness, occurs whenever one consciously recollects or re-experiences a happening from a specific time in the past, attends directly to one's present or on-line experience, or contemplates one's existence and conduct at a time in the future (1997, p. 350, italics added).

### **Psychopathogenesis: The Neurobiology and Self Psychology of Early Relational Trauma**

It is important to stress that the developmental attainment of an efficient self system that can adaptively regulate various forms of arousal and psychobiological states, and thereby affect, cognition, and behavior, only evolves in a growth-facilitating emotional environment. The good-enough mother of the securely attached infant permits access to the child after a separation and shows a tendency to respond appropriately and promptly to his or her emotional expressions. She also allows for the interactive generation of high levels of positive affect in

co-shared play states. These regulated events allow for an expansion of the child's coping capacities, and thus security of the attachment bond is the primary defense against trauma-induced psychopathology. In contrast to this scenario, the abusive caregiver not only shows less play with her infant, she also induces long-lasting traumatic states of negative affect in the child. Because her attachment is weak, she provides little protection against other potential abusers of the infant, such as the father. This caregiver is inaccessible and reacts to her infant's expressions of emotions and stress inappropriately and/or rejectingly, and therefore shows minimal or unpredictable participation in the various types of arousal regulating processes. Instead of modulating she induces extreme levels of stimulation and arousal, very high in abuse, and very low in neglect. The enduring detrimental effects of parent-inflicted traumatic abuse and neglect on the attachment bond is now well-established: The continued survival of the child is felt to be at risk, because the actuality of the abuse jeopardizes (the) primary object bond and challenges the child's capacity to trust and, therefore, to securely depend (Davies & Frawley, 1994, p. 62). In contexts of relational trauma the caregiver(s), in addition to dysregulating the infant, withdraw any selfobject interactive repair functions, leaving the infant for long periods in an intensely disruptive psychobiological state that is beyond her immature coping strategies. According to McDougall, "It must be emphasized that the long-range traumatic impact of a catastrophic event depends to a large degree on the parental reactions to the trauma in question" (1989, p. 208). Lachmann and Beebe (1997) point out that an event becomes traumatic when it ruptures the individual's selfobject tie, without opportunity for repair, thereby dramatically altering her self-state. Similarly, Mollon (2001) emphasizes, "It is the non-availability of caregivers who can provide empathy and soothing which means the child abused within the family must resort to pathological forms of internal escape. [W]ithout this soothing by reliable and consistent caregivers, the traumatized child is unable to regulate his or her mental state and restore emotional equilibrium" (p. 212). In studies of a neglect paradigm, Tronick and Weinberg (1997) describe: "When infants are not in homeostatic balance or are emotionally dysregulated (e.g., they are distressed), they are at the mercy of these states. Until these states are brought under control, infants must devote all their regulatory resources to reorganizing them. While infants are doing that, they can do nothing else" (p. 56). The "nothing else" these authors refer to is a failure to continue to develop. Traumatized infants forfeit potential opportunities for socioemotional learning during critical periods of right brain development (Schore, 2001b, 2002e). It should be noted that recent National Child Abuse and Neglect Statistics (2000) report that the highest victimization rate occurs in children 0-3, and that over half of fatalities due to maltreatment occur in this age group. Early experiences with a traumatizing caregiver are well-known to negatively impact the child's attachment security, stress coping strategies, and sense of self (Crittenden & Ainsworth, 1989; Erickson, Egeland, & Pianta, 1989). It is here that attachment theory can offer self psychology important information about the origins of severe self psychological deficits. Indeed in classic research, Main and Solomon (1986) studied the attachment patterns of infants who had suffered trauma in the first year of life. This led to the discovery of a new attachment category, "type D", an insecure-disorganized/disoriented pattern, one found in 80% of maltreated infants (Carlson et al., 1989). These authors contend that such infants are experiencing low stress tolerance and that their disorganization and disorientation reflect the fact that the infant, instead of finding a haven of safety in the relationship, is alarmed by the parent. They note that because the infant inevitably seeks the parent when alarmed, any parental behavior that directly alarms an infant should place it in an irresolvable paradox in which it can neither approach, shift its attention, or flee. At the most basic level, these infants are unable to generate a coherent behavioral coping strategy to deal with this emotional challenge (see Schore, 2001b, 2002e for a detailed description of the developmental and neuro-psychoanalysis of the disorganized/disoriented attachment). Recent neurobiological studies in developmental traumatology indicate that the infant's psychobiological response to trauma is comprised of two separate response patterns, hyperarousal and dissociation (Perry et al., 1995; Schore, 1998d, 1999b, c, 2001b, e, f, 2002e). In the initial stage of threat, an alarm reaction is initiated, in which the sympathetic component of the ANS is suddenly and significantly activated, resulting in increased heart rate, blood pressure, and respiration. Distress is expressed in crying and then screaming. Beebe (2000) describes an episode of "mutually escalating overarousal" of a disorganized attachment pair: "Each one escalates the ante, as the infant builds to a frantic distress, may scream, and, in this example, finally throws up. In an escalating overarousal pattern, even after extreme distress signals from the infant, such as ninety-degree head aversion, arching away...or screaming, the mother keeps going" (p. 436). This state of fear-terror is mediated by sympathetic hyperarousal, and it reflects increased levels of the major stress hormone corticotropin releasing factor, which in turn regulates noradrenaline and adrenaline activity (see Schore, 1997a, 2001b, 2002e). But a second later forming, longer lasting traumatic reaction is seen in dissociation, in which the child disengages from stimuli in the external world and attends to an "internal" world. The child's dissociation in the midst of terror involves numbing, avoidance, compliance and restricted affect. Traumatized infants are observed to be "staring off into space with a glazed look". This parasympathetic dominant state of conservation-withdrawal occurs in helpless and hopeless stressful situations in which the individual becomes inhibited and strives to avoid attention in order to become "unseen" (Kaufman & Rosenblum, 1967; Schore, 1994). This primary regulatory process for maintaining organismic homeostasis (Engel & Schmale, 1972) is characterized by a metabolic shutdown (Schore, 2001b, 2003b) and low levels of activity (McCabe & Schneiderman, 1985). It is used throughout the life span, when the stressed individual passively disengages in order "to conserve energies...to foster survival by the risky posture of feigning death, to allow healing of wounds and restitution of depleted resources by immobility" (Powles, 1992, p. 213). Beebe

and Lachmann (1988b), describe a stressed-induced "inhibition of responsivity" in which a sudden total cessation of infant movement accompanies a limp, motionless headhang. Tronick and Weinberg (1997) observe: "[W]hen infants' attempts fail to repair the interaction, infants often lose postural control, withdraw, and self-comfort. The disengagement is profound even with this short disruption of the mutual regulatory process and break in intersubjectivity. The infant's reaction is reminiscent of the withdrawal of Harlow's isolated monkey or of the infants in institutions observed by Bowlby and Spitz" (p. 75). It is this parasympathetic mechanism that mediates the "profound detachment" (Barach, 1991) of dissociation. If early trauma is experienced as "psychic catastrophe" (Bion, 1962), dissociation represents "detachment from an unbearable situation" (Mollon, 1996), "the escape when there is no escape" (Putnam, 1997), and "a last resort defensive strategy" (Dixon, 1998). The neurobiology of the later forming dissociative reaction is different than the initial hyperarousal response (see Schore, 2001b, and Scaer, 2001, for models of the neurobiology of dissociation). In this passive state pain numbing and blunting endogenous opiates and behavior-inhibiting stress hormones, such as cortisol, are elevated. This intensified parasympathetic arousal allows the infant to maintain homeostasis in the face of the internal state of sympathetic hyperarousal. This same sequential defensive operation has been observed in the psychophysiological literature by Porges (1997) who describes, "...the sudden and rapid transition from an unsuccessful strategy of struggling requiring massive sympathetic activation to the metabolically conservative immobilized state mimicking death" (p. 75). Notice that in the traumatic state, and this may be of long duration, both the sympathetic energy-expending and parasympathetic energy-conserving components of the infant's developing ANS are hyperactivated.

### **The Developmental Psychoanalysis and Self Psychology of Relational Trauma**

As episodes of relational trauma commence, the infant is processing information from the external and internal environment. The mother's face is the most potent visual stimulus in the child's world, and it is well known that direct gaze can mediate not only loving but powerful aggressive messages. In coding the mother's frightening behavior Hesse and Main (1999, p. 511) describe "in non-play contexts, stiff-legged stalking' of infant on all fours in a hunting posture; exposure of canine tooth accompanied by hissing; deep growls directed at infant." The image of the mother's aggressive face, as well as the chaotic alterations in the infant's bodily state that are associated with it, are indelibly imprinted into the infant's developing limbic circuits as a "flashbulb memory" and thereby stored in imagistic implicit-procedural memory in the visuospatial right hemisphere. But within the traumatic interaction the infant is presented with another affectively overwhelming facial expression, a maternal expression of fear-terror. Main and Solomon (1986) note that this occurs when the mother withdraws from the infant as though the infant were the source of the alarm, and they report that dissociated, trancelike, and fearful behavior is observed in parents of type D infants. Current studies show a specific link between frightening, intrusive maternal behavior and disorganized infant attachment (Schuengel, Bakersmans-Kranenburg, & Van Ijzendoorn, 1999). During these episodes the infant is matching the rhythmic structures of these states, and that this synchronization is registered in the firing patterns of the right corticolimbic brain regions that are in a critical period of growth. And so not just the trauma but the infant's defensive response to the trauma, the regulatory strategy of dissociation, is inscribed into the infant's right brain implicit-procedural memory system. In light of the fact that many of these mothers have suffered from unresolved trauma themselves (Famularo, Kinscherff, & Fenton, 1992), this spatiotemporal imprinting of terror and dissociation is a primary mechanism for the intergenerational transmission of trauma (see Schore, 2001b for a detailed discussion of the neurobiology of the dissociative defense and body-mind psychopathology). Even more specifically, in certain critical moments, the caregiver's entrance into a dissociative state represents the real-time manifestation of neglect. Such a context of an emotionally unavailable, dissociating mother and a disorganized infant is evocatively captured by Selma Fraiberg (cited in Barach, 1991): The mother had been grudgingly parented by relatives after her mother's postpartum attempted suicide and had been sexually abused by her father and cousin. During a testing session, her baby begins to cry. It is a hoarse, eerie cry...On tape, we see the baby in the mother's arms screaming hopelessly; she does not turn to her mother for comfort. The mother looks distant, self-absorbed. She makes an absent gesture to comfort the baby, then gives up. She looks away. The screaming continues for five dreadful minutes. In the background we hear Mrs. Adelson's voice, gently encouraging the mother. "What do you do to comfort Mary when she cries like this?" (The mother) murmurs something inaudible...As we watched this tape later...we said to each other incredulously, "It's as if this mother doesn't hear her baby's cries" (p. 119, italics added). Ultimately, the child will transition out of heightened protest into detachment, and with the termination of the intensely energy-expending extreme protest, she'll become silent. She'll shift out of the hyperarousal, and she'll dissociate and match the mother's state. This state switch from a regulatory strategy of intense struggling into the dissociative immobilized state mimicking death is ultimately experienced as a "dead spot" in this child's subjective experience (Kestenberg, 1985). Notice that this traumatic context is totally devoid of any mutually regulating interactions. Rather, both mother and infant, although in physical proximity, are simultaneously autoregulating their stress, in a very primitive manner, in parallel but non-intersecting dissociative states. There is a void of subjectivity within each, and there is a void in the communications within the intersubjective field. There's no dyadic attachment mechanism to convey or sense signals from the other. What stands out between them, both verbally and nonverbally, is this silent void, this vacuum, this black hole of nothingness. In fact in this dissociated context, there is no intersubjective field. This is a context in which a two-person psychology does not exist and does not apply. The extreme alteration of subjectivity within the traumatically dissociated infant has been



described by Winnicott (1958) as an episode of discontinuity in the child's need for going-on-being. Dissociation has classically been characterized as a constricted state of primary consciousness, a void therefore of subjectivity. This is the context of a one-person psychology, but a trauma-induced, radically altered, survival-focused one-person psychology. Thus, in infancy, as at all later points of the life span, in the profound detachment of dissociative moments both the subjective self and the intersubjective field instantly switch off and do not exist. Due to the metabolic shutdown, higher brain activity, including the capacities of processing external social stimuli and generating internal images ceases. During dissociative episodes the complex processing of both external and internal objects ceases. In classical developmental research, Ribble (1944) observes, "The infant is, by its very incompleteness of brain and nervous system, continuously in danger of functional disorganization. Outwardly the danger is of sudden separation from the mother who either intuitively or knowingly must sustain this functional balance. Inwardly the danger appears to be the mounting of tension from biological needs and the inability of the organism to maintain its inner energy or metabolic equilibrium and reflex excitability" (my italics). In contemporary neuroscience Damasio (1994) writes, the self is a "repeatedly reconstructed biological state" that "endows our experience with subjectivity." Current psychoanalytic models support this neurobiological concept, and expand upon it. Indeed, the central tenet of self psychology prescribes that regulatory self-selfobject experiences provide the particular intersubjective affective experiences that evoke the emergence and maintenance of the self (Kohut, 1984). But in the case of relational trauma-induced dissociation, under conditions of massive default in metabolic energy production for basic brain/mind/body functions, there is not sufficient energy to reconstruct the biological state that sustains cohesion of self function and thereby subjectivity. Mollon (2001) refers to the devastating effects of early "selfobject catastrophes." I suggest that in contrast to the optimal continuous dyadic reciprocal interactions with regulating selfobjects that maintain the infant's homeostatic balance and thereby the energetic vigor and structural cohesion and integration of the self, the selfobject catastrophes and defensive dissociative responses embedded in relational trauma induce a severe failure of the infant's capacity to maintain metabolic-energetic equilibrium in both its central and autonomic nervous systems, and thereby a dis-integration of the self. How long the infant remains in this state is an essential factor in psychopathogenesis. Recall Kohut refers to two types of disintegration, differentiating a "fragmented self" from a "depleted self" (1977, p. 243). According to Mollon, "fragmentation arises in the baby and child whose mental and physiological state is not regulated adequately by the caregiving environment (2001, p. 13). I suggest that at all points in the life span a fragmented self describes the context of a self system that is in intense, dysregulated sympathetic hyperarousal, a condition of excessive energy-expenditure, an explosive dis-aggregation of the core, or nuclear self. This hyper-energetic state would be subjectively experienced as "organismic panic," which Pao describes as "a shock-like reaction in which the ego's integrative function is temporarily paralyzed" (1979, p. 221). I would amend this to say that it is more than the ego that is paralyzed, it is the right brain core self. But in addition, Kohut's "depleted" self characterizes an organismic state of dysregulated parasympathetic hypoarousal, dissociation, and excessive energy conservation, subjectively experienced as an implosion of the self, wherein there is not enough energy in the brain/mind/body system to form the interconnections responsible for coherence. This would be clinically manifest as an anaclitic depression that accompanies a state of conservation-withdrawal marked by high levels of dissociation (see Weinberg, 2000, on right hemisphere deficiency and suicide). In this condition there is a simultaneous loss of both modes of self-regulation, interactive regulation and auto-regulation. The former would be subjectively experienced as a lingering state of intense hopelessness, the latter of helplessness. These self survival states and their accompanying self-cognitions are obviously relevant to Kohutian, intersubjective, relational, as well as classical psychoanalytic perspectives. Self psychology, like psychoanalysis in general, must now revise its theoretical and clinical models of early trauma and re-incorporate the concept of dissociation into its models of psychopathogenesis.

### **Clinical Psychoanalytic Conceptions of Trauma**

Indeed, the problem of trauma has been one of great controversy for psychoanalysis. In 1893 Breuer and Freud, citing the recent work of Janet (1889), described dissociation as the major mechanism for "strangulations of affect," but by 1900 and 'The Interpretation of Dreams' Freud discarded this notion and favored repression as the major mechanism of psychopathogenesis. Despite this, there has been a long tradition in the classical psychoanalytic literature of the severely detrimental effects of the traumatic effects of a sudden and unexpected influx of massive external stimulation (sympathetic hyperexcitation) that breaches the infant's stimulus barrier and precludes successful self-regulation (Freud, 1920, 1926). This has led to an emphasis of the role of overstimulation and annihilation anxieties in classical, object relational, and self psychological models of trauma. Kohut (1984) also suggested that the experience of fragmentation of the mind-body self represents "the deepest anxiety man can experience" (p. 16). I suggest that Fraiberg's description of "screaming hopelessly" is the vocal expression of the earliest manifestation of annihilation anxiety, the threat to one's bodily wholeness and survival, the annihilation of one's core being. However, Freud (1926) additionally described the psychic helplessness associated with the ego's immaturity in the first years of childhood, and postulated that the passively experienced re-emergence of the trauma is "a recognized, remembered, expected situation of helplessness." (p. 166). In writings on psychic trauma and "emotional surrender" Anna Freud (1951/1968; 1964/1969) also referred to helplessness, defined as a state of "disorientation and powerlessness" that the organism experiences in the traumatic moment. Although almost all psychoanalytic theoreticians have overlooked or undervalued this, Krystal (1988) and Hurvich (1989) emphasize that at the level of psychic

survival helplessness constitutes the first basic danger. This helplessness is a component of the survival strategy of conservation-withdrawal, the early appearing primitive organismic defense against the growth-inhibiting effects of maternal over- or understimulation.

These issues also bear upon another area of long-standing controversy. Winnicott (1965) offers the observation, "In certain cases, the mother's central internal object is dead at the critical time in her child's early infancy, and her mood is one of depression. Here the infant has to fit in with a role of dead object. Here the opposite to the liveliness of the infant is an antilife factor derived from the mother's depression" (p. 181).

This scenario is described in the previously cited observation of Fraiberg. Instead of interactively generating vitality affects, each member of the traumatized dyad experiences "an antilife factor" and "dead spots" in their subjective experience. Very recent basic research indicates that maternal deprivation increases cell death in the infant brain (Zhang et al., 2002). Is this the death instinct? Recall, the state of conservation-withdrawal, a primary regulatory process of decreased metabolic energy is accessed when active coping (flight or fight) is not possible, occurs in hopeless and helpless contexts, and is behaviorally manifest as feigning death (Engel & Schmale, 1972; Powles, 1992). Dissociation is defined as "a submission and resignation to the inevitability of overwhelming, even psychically deadening danger" (Davies & Frawley, 1994).

Furthermore, Kohut (1977) speculates that in optimal contexts the parental selfobject acts to "remedy the child's homeostatic imbalance," and so the relational context of a selfobject catastrophe is characterized by not only the induction of abuse but also a lack of interactive repair of the infant's dissociative reactions. Because these events are occurring in a critical period of development they have long enduring effects. McDougall (1989) asserts, "The way in which the potential trauma is handled by the environment is therefore a critical factor in determining the extent to which the child will suffer future pathological consequences" (p. 208). This context of psychopathogenesis is, again, characterized by Winnicott (1960): "If maternal care is not good enough, then the infant does not really come into existence, since there is no continuity in being; instead, the personality becomes built on the basis of reactions to environmental impingement" (p. 54).

Tustin (1981) refers to this impingement as a "psychological catastrophe," which is responded to by "autistic withdrawal" or "encapsulation," an innate defensive measure against bodily hurt that involves a "shutting out of mind" what can not be handled at the moment. This is an operational definition of the growth-inhibiting defense of dissociation. Mollon (2001) describes the outcome of the selfobject failures embedded in ambient and cumulative relational trauma: Dissociation and related forms of detachment, including depersonalization and derealization, are among the most fundamental reactions to trauma. If childhood trauma or abuse is repeated, and if the abuser is a caregiver, so that the child has nowhere to run and no one to turn to, then internal escape is resorted to - the child learns to dissociate more easily and in a more organized way. In this way, the personality system preserves at least parts of itself from the impinging trauma or violation, by sequestering, or sealing off, the area of damage (p. 218).

In the current relational literature, Bromberg (1994), Davies (1996) and Aron and Anderson (1998) are making important efforts to re-incorporate the concept of dissociation into psychoanalysis. Although Kohut never used the term dissociation, in his last book (1984) he characterized an early interaction that could describe a type D attachment, and spoke of the dire long-term consequences of a tendency of an individual to characterologically "wall himself off" from traumatizing experiences. "If the mother's empathic ability has remained infantile, that is, if she tends to respond with panic to the baby's anxiety, then a deleterious chain will be set into motion. She may chronically wall herself off from the baby, thus depriving him of the beneficial effect of merging with her as she returns from experiencing mild anxiety to calmness. Alternatively, she may continue to respond with panic, in which case two negative consequences may ensue: the mother may lay the groundwork in the child for a lifelong propensity toward the uncurbed spreading of anxiety or other emotions, or by forcing the child to wall himself off from such an overly intense and thus traumatizing [experience, she] may foster in the child an impoverished psychic organization, the psychic organization of a person who will later be unable to be empathic himself, to experience human experiences, in essence, to be fully human" (p. 83).

### **Traumatic Attachment and Right Brain Pathomorphogenesis**

The "psychically deadening danger" of excessive unregulated dissociation that accompanies early relational traumatic attachments and creates a context for an "impoverished psychic organization" is the major mechanism that engenders what Balint (1968) calls the "basic fault," a deep and pervasive sense that there exists within a fault that extends widely to include "the whole psychobiological structure of the individual" (p. 22), and that is experienced as "a feeling of emptiness, being lost, deadness, and futility" (p. 19). This structural defect is due, according to Balint, to a severe discrepancy between the needs of the person as an infant and the capacity of people in his early environment to provide them. What has been undetermined to date in the psychoanalytic literature is, as Mahler (1958) stated almost 50 years ago, precisely how trauma interferes with psychic structure formation. Freud (1940) observed that trauma in early life effects all vulnerable humans because "the ego...is feeble, immature and incapable of resistance." Bowlby postulated that the major negative impact of early traumatic attachments is an alteration of the organism's normal developmental trajectory. Over 30 years ago he (Bowlby, 1969) wrote: "[S]ince much of the development and organization of [attachment] behavioral systems takes place whilst the individual is immature, there are plenty of occasions when an atypical environment can divert them from developing on an adaptive course" (p. 130).

Specifically how this developmental deflection takes place can only be answered with reference to current neurobiological models of how detrimental early socioaffective experiences provide a growth-inhibiting

environment for the developing brain. Indeed, research on maltreatment-related (pediatric) posttraumatic stress disorder is currently under way (Beer & De Bellis, 2002; Carrion et al., 2001, 2002). Current studies in developmental traumatology conclude that "the overwhelming stress of maltreatment in childhood is associated with adverse influences on brain development" (De Bellis et al., 1999, p. 1281). And so it is now thought that specifically a dysfunctional and traumatized early relationship is the stressor that leads to PTSD, that severe trauma of interpersonal origin may override any genetic, constitutional, social, or psychological resilience factor, and that the ensuing adverse effects on brain development and alterations of the biological stress systems may be regarded as "an environmentally induced complex developmental disorder" (De Bellis, 2001).

Advances in the "decade of the brain" are therefore allowing us to more deeply understand the underlying mechanisms by which dysregulating traumatic attachments embedded in abuse and neglect interfere with the organization of particularly, the right brain (Schore, 2001b, in 2002e). During the first two years of life, chronic and cumulative states of overwhelming, hyperaroused affective states, as well as hypoaroused dissociation have devastating effects on the growth of psychic structure. The survival mode of conservation-withdrawal induces an extreme alteration of the bioenergetics of the developing brain. In critical periods of regional synaptogenesis this would have growth-inhibiting effects, especially in the right brain which specializes in withdrawal. This is because the biosynthetic processes that mediate the proliferation of synaptic connections in the postnatally developing brain demand, in addition to sufficient quantities of essential nutrients, massive amounts of energy. An infant brain that is chronically shifting into hypometabolic survival modes has little energy available for growth (see Schore, 1994; 1997a; 2001b). Furthermore, during the brain growth spurt, when psychic structure is organizing at a rapid rate, the severe and prolonged states of physiological dysregulation that results from relational trauma abuse, neglect, or both are routinely accompanied by deficiencies in the provision of selfobject experiences of affect synchrony and interactive repair. Instead of optimal dyadic contexts of right brain-to-right brain intersubjectivity, the infant is exposed to severe "breaks in intersubjectivity" (Tronick & Weinberg, 1997) which engender "dead spots" in this child's subjective experience (Kestenberg, 1985). These experiences negatively impact the experience-dependent maturation of the right hemisphere which is dominant for "subjective emotional experiences" and affect regulation (Schore, 1994, 1999a, 2001a, 2003a). Laub and Auerhahn (1993) propose that the essential experience of trauma is a disruption of the link between the "self" and the mothering "empathic other," and therefore the maternal introject, or mothering (selfobject regulatory) function, is deficient or "damaged." Grotstein (1983) refers to "a failure in the development of self-regulatory functions under the sponsorship of the selfobject."

This failure to evolve an efficient regulatory system would cause the personality to have a deficient and decreased capacity for autoregulation, which is partially compensated for by an increased need for external regulators at later stages. In fact, this very deficit, a direct parallel to Kohut's increased need for pathological selfobjects in developmental disorders, is now considered to be a risk factor of insecure attachments (Maunder & Hunter, 2001). The deficits described by self psychology are thus, specifically, functional deficits that reflect structural defects of cortical-subcortical circuits of the right brain, the locus of the corporeal-emotional self.

### **Right Brain Dysfunction and Self Psychological Deficits**

What would be the functional indicators of this structural impairment of the right brain? Due to the altered development of the right cortical system that nonconsciously decodes emotional stimuli by actual felt emotional responses to stimuli, individuals with poor attachment histories display empathy disorders, the limited capacity to perceive the emotional states of others. An inability to read subtle facial expressions leads to a misattribution of emotional states and a misinterpretation of the intentions of others. In addition to this deficit in social cognition in appraising external social cues, such individuals also exhibit a poor ability to appraise the internal cues of their bodily states, and what Krystal (1997) terms desomatization. Impairments of these right brain functions preclude an adaptive capacity to evaluate external-social and internal-physiological signals of safety and danger. The coping deficits in right hemispheric self-regulation are manifest in a limited capacity to modulate the intensity and duration of affects, especially biologically primitive affects like shame, rage, excitement, elation, disgust, panic-terror, and hopeless despair. Under stress such individuals experience not discrete and differentiated affects, but diffuse, undifferentiated, chaotic states accompanied by overwhelming somatic and visceral sensations. The poor capacity for what Fonagy and Target (1997) call mentalization leads to a restricted ability to reflect upon their emotional states. Solms describes a mechanism by which disorganization of a damaged or developmentally deficient right hemisphere is associated with a "collapse of internalized representations of the external world" in which "the patient regresses from whole to part object relationships" (1996, p. 347), a hallmark of early forming personality disorders. This regression is equated with Kohut's "disintegration" into a "fragmented" or "depleted" self." Traumatic attachment experiences negatively affect the early organization of the right brain, and thereby produce deficits in its adaptive functions of emotionally understanding and reacting to bodily and environmental stimuli, identifying a corporeal image of self and its relation to the environment, distinguishing the self from the other, and generating self-awareness. Optimal attachment experiences allow for the emergence of self-awareness, the adaptive a capacity to sense, attend to, and reflect upon the dynamic changes of one's subjective self states, but traumatic attachments in childhood lead to self-modulation of painful affect by directing attention away from internal emotional states. Indeed, there is now evidence to show that early relational trauma is particularly expressed in right hemisphere deficits. Very recent studies reveal that maltreated children diagnosed with PTSD manifest right lateralized metabolic limbic abnormalities (De Bellis et al., 2000b), and that right brain impairments associated with severe

anxiety disorders are expressed in childhood (De Bellis et al., 2000a). Adults severely abused in childhood (Raine et al., 2001) and diagnosed with PTSD (Galletly et al., 2001) show reduced right hemisphere activation during a working memory task. Neurological studies of adults confirm that dysfunction of the right frontal lobe is involved in PTSD symptomatology (Freeman & Kimbrell, 2001) and dissociative flashbacks (Berthier et al., 2001). Current neuropsychiatric research indicates that the paralimbic areas of the right hemisphere are preferentially involved in the storage of traumatic memories (Schiffer, Teicher, & Papanicolaou, 1995), and that altered right-sided activity occurs in panic and social phobic anxiety states (Davidson et al., 2000; Galderisi et al., 2001). The current neuroscience literature also indicates that dissociation is associated with a deficiency of the right brain (Weinberg, 2000), and describes "a dissociation between the emotional evaluation of an event and the physiological reaction to that event, with the process being dependent on intact right hemisphere function" (Crucian et al., 2000, p. 643). A failure of orbitofrontal function is seen in the hypometabolic state of pathological dissociation, and this dysfunction would interfere with its normal role in processing motivational information and modulating the motivational control of goal-directed behavior, and therefore manifest as a deficit in organizing the expression of a regulated emotional response and an appropriate motivational state for a particular social environmental context. As a result, during times of traumatic dissociation the major motivational systems that are programmed to actively cope with the external social environment are switched off. This would lead to a deactivation of every component of Panksepp's (1998) system of prototypic affective states, including those associated with "attachment to conspecifics," such as nurturance/maternal care, play/joy/social affection, and sexuality, as well as the "organismic defence system" of rage/anger and fear. Similarly, the active coping strategies of Lichtenberg's (1989) attachment-affiliation, exploratory-assertive, aversive, and sensual-sexual motivational systems all collapse in subcortically programmed survival states of passive disengagement, conservation-withdrawal, energy depletion, and dissociation, the escape when there is no escape, the last resort defensive strategy. In current psychoanalytic work Mollon (2001) describes the similarities of the enduring pathological effects of both early psychological and neurological damage. In the developmental neuropsychological literature, Anderson et al. (2000) delineate the sequelae of early vs. late neurological damage to the prefrontal cortex and conclude, these "impairments largely reflect a failure to ever develop specific cognitive and behavioral competencies, whereas in the adult-onset cases the defects arose through deterioration or loss of normally developed abilities" (p. 291). The deficits described by self psychology thus represent enduring developmental failures of specifically the higher regulatory prefrontal areas of the early developing right brain. This hemisphere is dominant for attachment functions (Henry, 1993, Schore, 1994; D.J. Siegel, 1999), and for the adaptive capacity to "maintain a coherent, continuous, and unified sense of self" (Devinsky, 2000). The maladaptive deficits of affect regulation that accompany pathological dissociation, a primitive defense against overwhelming affects, are expressed in a spectrum of severe self pathologies, from reactive attachment disorder of infants (Hinshaw-Fuselier et al., 1999), to psychotic experiences (Allen & Coyne, 1995), dissociative identity disorders (Putnam, 1989), posttraumatic stress disorders (van der Kolk, McFarlane, & Weisaeth, 1996), and borderline personality disorders (Golyunkina & Ryle, 1999). Early traumatic attachments are therefore a powerful source generator of the most severe deficits described by self psychology.

### **Continuity Between Early Traumatic Attachments and Later Severe Self Pathology**

Integrating physiology, neurobiology, and attachment theory, James Henry concludes, "The ability to maintain personally relevant bonds is vital for our evolutionary survival. The infant's tie to the mother's voice and odor is recognized even by the newborn, yet this personal relevance and recognition of the familiar can be impaired by anxious insecurity resulting from difficult early experiences or traumatic stress. The vital task of establishing a personally relevant universe and the solace derived from it depend on right hemispheric functioning. If this function is indeed lost in the insecurely attached, much has been lost." (Henry, cited in Wang, 1997). The insecurely attached infant's all-to-common stressful experiences with a caregiver who chronically initiates but poorly repairs intense and long-lasting dysregulated states are incorporated into right brain long-term autobiographical memory as a pathological internal object relation, an interactive representation of a dysregulated-self-in-interaction-with-a-misattuning-object (Schore, 1997b). This internal working model of a disorganized/disoriented insecure attachment stores critical exteroceptive information about the social source of relational trauma as well as the infant's interoceptive physiological responses to the stress. It encodes both an expectation of imminent "mutually escalating overarousal" and the autoregulatory strategy for coping with overwhelming interactive stress - the primitive coping strategy of dissociation. Kiersky and Beebe (1994) describe "nonverbal presymbolic forms of relating" that protected the infant from trauma and continue to be used by the adult to avoid retraumatization. Indeed, it is now accepted that, "The clinical data, reinforced by research findings, indicate that preverbal children, even in the first year of life, can establish and retain some form of internal representation of a traumatic event over significant periods of time" (Gaensbauer, 2002, p. 259). A central principle of this psychoneurobiological perspective dictates that there is a continuity between early early traumatic attachment and later severe disorders of personality development (Schore, 1994, 1996, 1997a, 1998d, 1999b, c, 2001b, e, 2003b). Clinical researchers are currently describing a continuity in infant and adult coping strategies (Nijenhuis et al., 1998, p. 253): "The stress responses exhibited by infants are the product of an immature brain processing threat stimuli and producing appropriate responses, while the adult who exhibits infantile responses has a mature brain that is capable of exhibiting adult response patterns. However, there is evidence that the adult brain may regress to an infantile state when it is confronted with

severe stress”.

This "infantile state" is a disorganized-disoriented state of insecure attachment. As in infancy, children, adolescents, and adults with posttraumatic stress disorders and severe self pathologies can not generate an active coherent behavioral coping strategy to confront subjectively perceived overwhelming, dysregulating events, and thus they quickly access the passive survival strategy of disengagement and dissociation. Indeed, clinical studies now affirm that the type D attachment classification is observed to utilize dissociative behaviors in later stages of life (van Ijzendoorn et al., 1999), and that the occurrence of dissociation at the time of a stressful trauma is a strong predictor of PTSD (Koopman, Classen, & Spiegel, 1994; Shalev et al., 1996). In developmental psychopathological research Sroufe and his colleagues conclude that early trauma more so than later trauma has a greater impact on the development of dissociative behaviors. They write, "The vulnerable self will be more likely to adopt dissociation as a coping mechanism because it does not have either the belief in worthiness gained from a loving and responsive early relationship or the normal level of defenses and integration that such a belief affords" (Ogawa et al., 1997, p. 875). The characterological use of dissociation over the developmental stages is described by Allen and Coyne: "Although initially they may have used dissociation to cope with traumatic events, they subsequently dissociate to defend against a broad range of daily stressors, including their own posttraumatic symptoms, pervasively undermining the continuity of their experience" (1995, p. 620). These "initial traumatic events" are embedded in the abuse and neglect experienced by type D infants, the first relational context in which dissociation is used to autoregulate massive stress. The ultimate endpoint of chronically experiencing catastrophic states of relational-induced trauma in early life is a progressive impairment of the ability to adjust, take defensive action, or act on one's own behalf, and a blocking of the capacity to register affect and pain, adaptive functions that are all critical to survival. In his latest book, LeDoux describes the legacy of childhood abuse: "If a significant proportion of the early emotional experiences one has are due to activation of the fear system rather than the positive systems, then the characteristic personality that begins to build up from the parallel learning processes coordinated by the emotional state is one characterized by negativity and hopelessness rather than affection and optimism" (2002, p. 322). Ultimately these individuals perceive themselves as different from other people and outside of, as well as unworthy of, meaningful attachments (Lansky, 1995). These personalities clearly manifest the self pathology of a developmental disorder.

But can we be more specific about the type of severe personality disorder associated with early relational trauma?

### **Traumatic Attachment and the Psychoneurobiological Etiology of Borderline Personality Disorders**

Kohut's speculations on development and psychopathogenesis mainly centered on the etiology of the deficits of narcissistic personality disorders. In his last work (1984) he states that his analytic experience with borderline states is "very limited." And yet he offers a developmental speculation that in borderline states, "a nuclear self has not been shaped in early development" (p. 8). In my own work I suggest that the developmental psychoneurobiological origins of earlier-forming borderline personality disorders can be differentiated from later-forming narcissistic personality disorders (Schore, 1991, 1994). In contrast to the narcissistic infant-mother dyad that derails their attachment communications in the last quarter of the first through the second year, the borderline dyad derails much earlier in the first year. As opposed to disorganized insecure attachments discussed here, narcissistic personality disorder is the outcome of an organized insecure pattern, specifically an avoidant (adult dismissive) attachment pattern (Pistole, 1995). This suggests a qualitatively different developmental history in these groups of personality disorders. Recall that insecure disorganized/disoriented and not organized insecure are associated with abuse and neglect. At about the same time that Kohut was offering his conceptions of early forming personality disorders, two other pioneers were also putting forth new models of these disorders. In 1975 Masterson and Rinsley, influenced by the developmental models of Mahler, highlighted the role of the mother in the genesis of altered intrapsychic structure of borderline personality disorders. Very recently Masterson (2000) has incorporated contemporary developmental psychoanalysis and developmental neurobiology to update this model. The other major theoretician of severe personality pathologies was Kernberg, who emphasized the role of excess (unregulated) endogenous aggression. He now asserts a conception of psychopathogenesis that is similar to the psychoneurobiological ambient relational trauma model described above: "The most important cause of severe personality disorders is severe chronic traumatic experiences, such as physical or sexual abuse, severe deprivation of love, severe neglect, unavailable parental objects as familial dispositions that can lead to the development of personality disorders" (Kernberg, 1988). Indeed, a large body of studies now indicates disrupted early attachments and early trauma and abuse in the histories of children and adults diagnosed as specifically, borderline personality disorder (Lyons-Ruth & Jacobvitz, 1999), and thus there is a high correlation of PTSD and borderline diagnoses (Famularo et al., 1992; Herman, Perry, & van der Kolk, 1989; van der Kolk et al., 1994). Zanarini et al. (1997) report that 91% of borderline patients report childhood abuse, and 92% report some type of childhood neglect. In an overview of the literature Paris summarizes the developmental data and asserts "the weight of the research evidence supports the hypothesis that abuse during childhood is an important risk factor for borderline personality disorder" (1995, p. 15). Herman and van der Kolk (1987) assert that PTSD and borderline personality disorders both share massive disturbances in affect regulation, impulse control, interpersonal difficulties, self-integration, and a bias to use dissociation when under stress. The experiences of early abuse and neglect negatively impact the nonverbal right hemisphere, which is centrally involved in affect regulation, dissociation, and survival

functions. The Israeli neuropsychiatrist Vadim Rotenberg (1995) concludes: “[The] functional deficiency of the right hemisphere...may be caused by the lack of emotional relationships between the child and the parents. Such emotional relationships...stimulate the development of the right hemisphere functions and correspond to these functions as a key to the lock. If these emotional relationships are insufficient, the right hemisphere will become inefficient, its contribution in psychological defense mechanisms and emotional stabilization will be lost, and there will be a general predisposition to subsequent mental and psychosomatic disorders” (p. 59). The neuroscience literature now describes "early emotional learning occurring in the right hemisphere unbeknownst to the left; learning and associated emotional responding may later be completely inaccessible to the language centers of the brain" (Joseph, 1982, p. 243). In the trauma literature McFarlane and Yehuda observe, "Essentially, the core of traumatic syndromes is the capacity of current environmental triggers (real or symbolic), to provoke the intense recall of affectively charged traumatic memory structures, which come to drive current behaviour and perception" (2000, p. 900). According to Valent (1998) early handling and misattunements may be deeply remembered in later life not in verbal explicit memory but in the form of disconnected physiological responses, emotions, and acting out. The most significant consequence of early relational trauma is the loss of the ability to regulate the intensity and duration of affects. Clinical research now reveals that borderline personalities, when stressed, attribute high levels of primitive, negative ("all bad") evaluations to others (splitting), exhibit poor empathy and psychological understanding, manifest more intense negative responses to everyday life events, and show an increased sensitivity to even low-level emotional stimuli, (Arntz & Veen, 2001; Herpetz et al., 1999; Levine et al., 1997). These severe deficits in socioemotional functions are paralleled by structural defects in limbic areas involved in the processing of socioemotional information. A growing body of neurobiological research demonstrates dysfunctions of the amygdala and the orbitofrontal cortex, the "senior executive" of the social-emotional brain, in both PTSD and borderline personality disorders (Goyer, Konicki, & Schulz, 1994, Shin et al., 1999; Berthier et al., 2001; Galletly et al., 2001; Herpetz et al., 2001; Koenen et al., 2001). This work implies a similarity in the developmental precursors, the functional deficits, and the structural defects of these early forming self psychopathologies.

### **Psychotherapy: Changes in Right Brain Regulatory Structures**

In 'The Restoration of the Self' Kohut (1977) referred to borderline states only once, concluding these "are in principle not analyzable." The severe right brain deficits that result from traumatic attachments characterize much more than the self esteem regulation of narcissistic personality disorders - rather they are descriptive of the deficits in bodily-based survival functions that characterize severe borderline personality disorders. These deficits are a focus of current updated treatment models. Rotenberg now describes, “[T]he importance of the emotional relationships between psychotherapist and client can be explained by the restoration, in the process of such relationships, of...right hemispheric activity. In this way the emotional relationships in the process of psychotherapy are covering the deficiency caused by the lack of emotional relations in early childhood” (1995, p. 59). The deficiency of the right hemisphere, the locus of emotional self and the human stress response, echoes the deficits in psychic structure described by Kohut's self psychology. Affect dysregulation, a central feature of borderline personality disorders, is specifically manifest when "highs and lows are too extreme, too prolonged, or too rapidly cycled and unpredictable" (Bach, 1998, p. 188). Such rapid state shifts are expressed in moments of interpersonal stress within a close interpersonal relationship, including the therapeutic relationship. The ambient relational trauma of disorganized-disoriented insecure attachments, imprinted in implicit-procedural memory, is re-experienced in the arousal and autonomic dysregulation of severe self pathologies. Liotti (1992) describes that patients using dissociation “...often oscillate quickly between clinging to the therapist, emotionally withdrawing from him or her, and becoming frightened as if expecting to be assaulted by the therapist. Sometimes the display by these incompatible types of interpersonal behavior is almost simultaneous, taking place within a single session: in this case, the patient may show a trance-like or dazed expression while shifting from one attitude to another. This is of course, strongly reminiscent of...disorganized/disoriented behavior observed in infants” (p. 202). Furthermore, Valent contends that "transference and countertransference may be the only way infants or severely traumatized persons can communicate their stories of distress, and are therefore central tools for discerning unprocessed or defended events" (1999, p. 73). This frequently occurs in the form of projective identifications, especially in highly charged, negatively affectively-valenced intersubjective enactments (Schore, 2002b, c, d). In order to receive these transference communications of traumatically dissociated affect, the therapist must shift from a left to a right hemispheric dominant state of evenly hovering attention (Schore, 1994, 1997b, 2000e, 2001c, 2002b, 2002d), an empathic state in which, according to Kohut (1971): “the deeper layers of the analyst's psyche are open to the stimuli which emanate from the patient's communications while the intellectual activities of the higher levels of cognition are temporarily largely-but selectively suspended” (p. 274). The borderline's impairment in the recognition and communication of overwhelming and therefore dissociated subjective states reflects the fact, that due to the ambient early trauma of a growth-inhibiting relational environment, the higher right brain corticolimbic regulatory systems have never evolved. In a number of works I present interdisciplinary data which suggests that the co-constructed therapeutic alliance can act as a growth facilitating environment for the experience-dependent maturation of these same regulatory systems (1994, 1997b, 1999d, 2000e, 2001c, 2002e, 2003a). Recall Kohut's description of "missing psychic structure" and his assertion that "psychoanalysis cures by the laying down of psychological structure" (1984, p. 98). Writing in the psychoanalytic literature Spezzano (1993) asserts, “The analytic relationship heals by drawing into itself

those methods of processing and regulating affect relied on by the patient for psychological survival and then transforming them. The mechanism of these transformations is the regulation of affect in a better way within the analysis than it was previously managed by the patient and the subsequent modification of what, in the classical language of structural change, might be called the patient's unconscious affect-regulating structures" (pp. 215-216). In parallel writings, Andreasen, the editor of the *American Journal of Psychiatry* concludes that psychoanalytic intensive therapy "may be viewed as a long-term rebuilding and restructuring of the memories and emotional responses that have been embedded in the limbic system" (2001, p. 314). I suggest that these authors are describing developmental advances in complexity of the orbitofrontal system and its cortical and subcortical connections. This hierarchical apex of the limbic system represents the most plastic areas in the cortex (Barbas, 1995). In support of this, a recently published functional magnetic resonance imaging study provides evidence that higher regions of specifically the right prefrontal cortex attenuate emotional responses at the most basic levels in the brain, that such modulating processes are "fundamental to most modern psychotherapeutic methods," that this lateralized neocortical network is active in "modulating emotional experience through interpreting and labeling emotional expressions," and that "this form of modulation may be impaired in various emotional disorders and may provide the basis for therapies of these same disorders" (Hariri, Bookheimer, & Mazziotta, 2000, p. 48). Furthermore, recall that "while the left hemisphere mediates most linguistic behaviors, the right hemisphere is important for broader aspects of communication" (Van Lancker & Cummings, 1999, p. 95). In total, the incorporation of interdisciplinary data into the clinical theory of psychoanalysis indicates that psychoanalysis is not "the talking cure," but more precisely "the communicating cure." Note that the system that underlies psychotherapeutic change is in the nonverbal right as opposed to the verbal left hemisphere. The right hemisphere, the biological substrate of the human unconscious (Schore, 2003a), is also the locus of the emotional self. Kohut's emphasis on the central role of nonconscious selfobject dynamics, which act at nonverbal levels beneath conscious awareness, also points to the right brain, the locus of the dynamic unconscious (Schore, 2002a). In an earlier work (Schore, 1999e) I contended that recent advances in developmental psychoanalysis and neuropsychology clearly suggest that the center of psychic life shifts from Freud's ego, which he located in the "speech-area on the left-hand side" (1923) and the posterior areas of the verbal left hemisphere, to the highest levels of the nonverbal right hemisphere, the locus of the bodily-based self system (Schore, 1994). In a very recent overview, Stuss and Levine conclude, "It is in the frontal lobes, with perhaps a preeminent role of the right frontal lobe, that the complete integration of subjective experience in a fully self-aware person is achieved" (2002, p. 417). The clinical theory of psychoanalysis rests upon conceptions of psychopathogenesis and change. One of Kohut's major contributions was the expansion of the clinical theory beyond neurosis and into personality disorders, especially narcissistic personality disorders. In light of the documented finding that almost half of the patients seen by psychoanalysts have a personality disorder, with borderline personality disorder the most common and severe (Doidge et al., 1994; Friedman et al., 1998), self psychological, relational, and intersubjective psychoanalytic clinicians now need to integrate updated developmental and neurobiological information into their conceptions of psychopathogenesis. Self psychology must move beyond narcissistic disorders, into trauma and borderline personality organizations. An incorporation of current neuropsychological data, developmental psychoanalytic findings, and trauma research into the theoretical base of self psychology could allow for a significant expansion of its clinical model, both in terms of deepening its therapeutic efficacy and broadening its application to a wider array of severe self pathologies.

## REFERENCES

- Acerra, F., Burnod, Y., & de Schonen, S. (2002). Modelling aspects of face processing in early infancy. *Developmental Science*, 5: 98-117.
- Adolphs R., Damasio H., Tranel D., Damasio, A.R. (1996). Cortical systems for the recognition of emotion in facial expressions. *J. Neuroscience*, 23: 7678-7687.
- Damasio, H., Tranel, D., Cooper, G., & Damasio, A.R. (2000). A role for somatosensory cortices in the visual recognition of emotion as revealed by three-dimensional lesion mapping. *J. Neuroscience*, 20: 2683-2690.
- Allen, J.G., & Coyne, L. (1995). Dissociation and vulnerability to psychotic experience. The Dissociative Experiences Scale and the MMPI-2. *J. Nerv. Ment. Disease*, 183: 615-622.
- Anderson, S.W., Damasio, H., Tranel, D., & Damasio, A.R. (2000). Long-term sequelae of prefrontal cortex damage acquired in early childhood. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 18: 281-296.
- Andreasen, N.C. (2001). *Brave New Brain*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Arntz, A., & Veen, G. (2001). Evaluations of others by borderline patients. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.*, 189: 513-521.
- Aron, L., & Anderson, F.S. (1998). *Relational Perspectives on the Body*. Hillsdale, NJ: The Analytic Press.
- Bach, S. (1998). On treating the difficult patient. In *The Modern Freudians: Contemporary Psychoanalytic Technique*, ed. C.S. Ellman, S. Grand, M. Silvan, & S.J. Ellman. New York: Jason Aronson, pp. 185-195.
- Balint, M. (1968). *The Basic Fault*. London: Tavistock.
- Barach, P.M.M. (1991). Multiple personality disorder as an attachment disorder. *Dissociation*, IV: 117-123.
- Barbas, H. (1995). Anatomic basis of cognitive-emotional interactions in the primate prefrontal cortex. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 19: 499-510.
- Basch, M.F. (1976). The concept of affect: A re-examination. *J. Amer. Psychoanal. Assn.*, 24: 759-777.
- (1995). Kohut's contribution. *Psychoanal. Dialog.*, 5: 367-373.
- Beebe, B. (2000). Coconstructing mother-infant distress: the microsynchrony of maternal impingement and infant avoidance in the face-to-face encounter. *Psychoanal. Inq.*, 20: 412-440.
- & Lachmann, F.M. (1994). Representations and internalization in infancy: Three principles of salience. *Psychoanal. Psychol.*, 11: 127-165.
- Beer, S.R., De Bellis, M.D. (2002). Neuropsychological function in children with maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 159: 483-486.

- Bergman, A. (1999). *Ours, Yours, Mine: Mutuality and the Emergence of the Separate Self*. Northvale NJ: Analytic Press.
- Berthier, M.L., Posada, A., & Puentes, C. (2001). Dissociative flashbacks after right frontal injury in a Vietnam veteran with combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *J. Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, 13: 101-105.
- Bion, W.R. (1962). *Learning From Experience*. London: Heinemann.
- Blonder, L.X., Bowers, D., & Heilman, K.M. (1991). The role of the right hemisphere in emotional communication. *Brain*, 114: 1115-1127.
- Borod, J., Cicero, B.A., Obler, L.K., Welkowitz, J., Erhan, H.M., Santschi, C., Grunwald, I.S., Agosti, R.M., & Whalen, JR. (1998). Right hemisphere emotional perception: Evidence across multiple channels. *Neuropsychology*, 12: 446-458.
- Haywood, C.S., & Koff, E. (1997). Neuropsychological aspects of facial asymmetry during emotional expression: A review of the adult literature. *Neuropsychology Review*, 7: 41-60.
- Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment and Loss*. Vol. 1: Attachment. New York: Basic Books.
- Breuer, J., & Freud, S. (1893). Studies on hysteria. Standard Edition, 2: 3-305. London: Hogarth Press, 1958.
- Bromberg, P. (1996). Standing in the spaces: the multiplicity of self and the psychoanalytic relationship. *Contemp. Psychoanal.*, 32: 509-535.
- Carlson, V., Cicchetti, D., Barnett, D., & Braunwald, K. (1989). Disorganized/disoriented attachment relationships in maltreated infants. *Develop. Psychol.*, 25: 525-531.
- Carrión, V.G., Weems, C.F., Eliez, S., Patwardhan, A., Brown, & W., Ray, R.D. (2001). Attenuation of frontal asymmetry in pediatric posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 50: 943-951
- , Weems, C.F., Ray, R.D., Glaser, B., Hessl, D., & Reiss, A.L. (2002). Diurnal salivary cortisol in pediatric posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 51: 575-582.
- Cavada, C., & Schultz, W. (2000). The mysterious orbitofrontal cortex. Foreword. *Cerebral Cortex*, 10: 205.
- Chiron, C., Jambaque, I., Nabbout, R., Lounes, R., Syrota, A., & Dulac, O. (1997). The right brain hemisphere is dominant in human infants. *Brain*, 120: 1057-1065.
- Churchland, P.S. (2002). Self-representation in nervous systems. *Science*, 296: 308-310.
- Cooper, A.M. (1987). Changes in psychoanalytic ideas: Transference interpretation. *J. Amer. Psychoanal. Assn.*, 35: 77-98.
- Crittenden, P.M., & Ainsworth, M.D.S. (1989). Child maltreatment and attachment theory. In: *Child Maltreatment: Theory and Research on the Causes and Consequences of Child Abuse and Neglect*, ed. D. Cicchetti & V. Carlson. New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 432-463.
- Crucian, G.P., Hughes, J.D., Barrett, A.M., Williamson, D.J.G., Bauer, R.M., Bowres, D., & Heilman, K.M. (2000). Emotional and physiological responses to false feedback. *Cortex*, 36: 623-647.
- Damasio, A.R. (1994). *Descartes' Error*. New York: Grosset/Putnam.
- Davidson, R.J., Marshall, J.R., Tomarken, A.J., & Henriques, J.B. (2000). While a phobic waits: Regional brain electrical and autonomic activity in social phobics during anticipation of public speaking. *Biological Psychiatry*, 47: 85-95.
- Davies, J.M. (1996). Dissociation, repression and reality: testing in the countertransference. The controversy over memory and false memory in the psychoanalytic treatment of adult survivors of childhood sexual abuse. *Psychoanal. Dialog.*, 6: 189-218.
- & Frawley, M.G. (1994). *Treating the Adult Survivor of Childhood Sexual Abuse. A Psychoanalytic Perspective*. New York: Basic Books.
- De Bellis, M.D. (2001). Developmental traumatology: the psychobiological development of maltreated children and its implications for research, treatment, and policy. *Development and Psychopathology*, 13: 539-564.
- Baum, A.S., Birmaher, B., Keshavan, M.S., Eccard, C.H., Boring, A.M., Jenkins, F.J., & Ryan, N.D. (1999). Developmental traumatology Part I: Biological stress systems. *Biological Psychiatry*, 45: 1259-1270.
- Casey, B.J., Dahl, R.E., Birmaher, B., Williamson, D.E., Thomas, K.M., Axelson, D.A., Frustaci, K., Boring, A.M., Hall, J., & Ryan, N.D. (2000a). A pilot study of amygdala volume in pediatric generalized anxiety disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 48: 51-57.
- Keshaven, M.S., Spencer, S., & Hall, J. (2000b). N-acetylaspartate concentration in anterior cingulate with PTSD. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 157: 1175-1177.
- Devinsky, O. (2000). Right cerebral hemisphere dominance for a sense of corporeal and emotional self. *Epilepsy & Behavior*, 1: 60-73.
- Diamond, D., & Blatt, S.J. (1999). Attachment research and psychoanalysis. I. Research considerations. *Psychoanal. Inq.*, 19: 423-667.
- Dimberg, U., & Petterson, M. (2000). Facial reactions to happy and angry facial expressions: Evidence for right hemisphere dominance. *Psychophysiology*, 37: 693-696.
- Dixon, A.K. (1998). Ethological strategies for defense in animals and humans: Their role in some psychiatric disorders. *Brit. J. Med. Psychol.*, 71: 417-445.
- Dobbing, J., & Sands, J. (1973). Quantitative growth and development of human brain. *Archiv. Diseases of Childhood*, 48: 757-767.
- Doidge, N., Simon, B., Gillies, L.A., & Ruskin, R. (1994). Characteristics of psychoanalytic patients under a nationalized health plan: DSM-III-R diagnoses, previous treatment, and childhood trauma. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 151: 586-590.
- Emde, R.N. (1983). The pre-representational self and its affective core. *Psychoanal. Study Child*, 38: 165-192.
- Engel, G.L., & Schmale, A.H. (1972). Conservation-withdrawal: A primary regulatory process for organismic homeostasis. In: *Physiology, Emotion, and Psychosomatic Illness*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 57-85.
- Erickson, M.F., Egeland, B., & Pianta, R. (1989). The effects of maltreatment on the development of young children. In: *Child Maltreatment: Theory and Research on the Causes and Consequences of Child Abuse and Neglect*, ed. D. Cicchetti & V. Carlson. New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 647-684.
- Famularo, R., Kinscherff, R., & Fenton, T. (1992). Posttraumatic stress disorder among children clinically diagnosed as borderline personality disorder. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.*, 179: 428-431.
- Feldman, R., Greenbaum, C.W., & Yirmiya, N. (1999). Mother-infant affect synchrony as an antecedent of the emergence of self-control. *Develop. Psychol.*, 35: 223-231.
- Fonagy, P., & Target, M. (1997). Attachment and reflective function: Their role in self-organization. *Development and Psychopathology*, 9: 679-700.
- Freeman, T.W., & Kimbrell, T. (2001). A "cure" for chronic combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder secondary to a right frontal lobe infarct: a case report. *J. Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, 13: 106-109.
- Friedman, R.C., Bucci, W., Christian, C., Drucker, P., & Garrison, W. B. (1998). Private psychotherapy patients of psychiatrist psychoanalysts. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 155: 1772-1774.
- Freud, A. (1951/1968). Notes on the connection between the states of negativism and psychic surrender. In: *The Writings of Anna Freud*, Vol. 4. New York: International Universities Press, pp. 256-259.
- (1964/1969). Comments on psychic trauma. In: *The Writings of Anna Freud*, Vol. 4. New York: International Universities Press, pp. 221-241.
- Freud, S. (1900). The interpretation of dreams. Standard Edition, 4 and 5. London, Hogarth Press, 1953.
- (1915). Instincts and their vicissitudes. Standard Edition 14: 109-140. London, Hogarth Press, 1957.
- (1920). Beyond the pleasure principle. Standard Edition 18. London, Hogarth Press, 1955.
- (1926). Inhibition, symptoms, and anxiety. Standard Edition 20. London, Hogarth Press, 1959.
- (1923). The ego and the id. Standard Edition 19: 3-63, London, Hogarth Press, 1961.
- (1940). An outline of psychoanalysis. Standard Edition 23. London, Hogarth Press, 1964.
- Gaensbauer, T.J. (2002). Representations of trauma in infancy: clinical and theoretical implications for the understanding of early memory. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 23: 259-277.



- Galderisi, S., Bucci, P., Mucci, A., Bernardo, A., Koenig, T., & Maj, M. (2001). Brain electrical microstates in subjects with panic disorder. *Psychophysiology*, 54: 427-435.
- Galletly, C., Clark, C.R., McFarlane, A.C., & Weber, D.L. (2001). Working memory in posttraumatic stress disorder - an event-related potential study. *J. Traumatic Stress*, 14: 295-309.
- George, M.S., Parekh, P.I., Rosinsky, N., Ketter, T.A., Kimbrell, T.A., Heilman, K.M., Herscovitch, P., Post, R.M. (1996). Understanding emotional prosody activates right hemispheric regions. *Arch. Neurology*, 53: 665-670.
- Golynkina, K., & Ryle, A. (1999). The identification and characteristics of the partially dissociated states of patients with borderline personality disorder. *Brit. J. Med. Psychol.*, 72, 429-435.
- Goyer, P.F., Konicki, P.E., & Schulz, S.C. (1994). Brain imaging in personality disorders. In: *Biological and Neurobehavioral Studies of Borderline Personality Disorder*, ed. K.R. Silk. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press, pp. 109-125.
- Grotstein, J.S. (1983). Some perspectives on self psychology. In: *The Future of Psychoanalysis*, ed. A. Goldberg. New York: International Universities Press.
- Hariri, A.R., Bookheimer, S.Y., & Mazziotta, J.C. (2000). Modulating emotional responses: effects of a neocortical network on the limbic system. *NeuroReport*, 11: 43-48.
- Henry, J.P. (1993). Psychological and physiological responses to stress: The right hemisphere and the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis, an inquiry into problems of human bonding. *Integrative Physiol. and Behav. Science*, 28: 369-387.
- Herman, J.L., & van der Kolk, B.A. (1987). Traumatic antecedents of borderline personality disorder. In: *Psychological Trauma*, ed. B.A. van der Kolk. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press, pp. 111-126.
- Perry, J., & van der Kolk, B. A. (1989). Childhood trauma in borderline personality disorder. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 146: 490-495.
- Herpetz, S., Kunert, H.J., Schwenger, U.B., & Sass, H. (1999). Affective response in borderline personality disorder-a psychophysiological approach. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 156: 1550-1556.
- Dietrich, T.M., Wenning, B., Krings, T., Erberich, S.G., Wilmes, K., Thron, A., & Sass, H. (2001). Evidence of abnormal amygdala functioning in borderline personality disorder: a functional MRI study. *Biological Psychiatry*, 50: 292-298.
- Hesse, E., & Main, M.M. (1999). Second-generation effects of unresolved trauma in nonmaltreating parents: dissociated, frightened, and threatening parental behavior. *Psychoanal. Inq.*, 19: 481-540.
- Hinshaw-Fuselier, S., Boris, N.W., & Zeanah, C.H. (1999). Reactive attachment disorder in maltreated twins. *Infant Mental Health J.*, 20: 42-59.
- Hugdahl, K. (1995). Classical conditioning and implicit learning: The right hemisphere hypothesis. In *Brain Asymmetry*, eds. R.J. Davidson & K. Hugdahl. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 235-267.
- Hurvich, M. (1989). Traumatic moment, basic dangers and annihilation anxiety. *Psychoanal. Rev.*, 6: 309-323.
- Janet P. (1889). *L'Automatisme Psychologique*. Paris: Alcan.
- Jackson, J.H. (1931). *Selected Writings of J.H. Jackson. Vol. I*. London: Hodder and Soughton.
- Joseph, R. (1982). The neuropsychology of development: Hemispheric laterality, limbic language, and the origin of thought. *J. Clinical Psychol.*, 38: 4-33.
- (1996). *Neuropsychiatry, Neuropsychology, and Clinical Neuroscience*, Second ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins.
- Kaufman, I.C., & Rosenblum, L.A. (1967). The reaction to separation in infant monkeys: Anaclitic depression and conservation-withdrawal. *Psychosom. Medicine*, 40: 649-675.
- Keenan, J.P., Wheeler, M.A., Gallup, G.G. Jr., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2000). Self-recognition and the right prefrontal cortex. *Trends Cog. Sci.*, 4: 338-344.
- Keenan, J.P., Nelson, A., O'Connor, M., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2001). Self-recognition and the right hemisphere. *Nature*, 409: 305.
- Kernberg, O. (1988). Interview with the developer of an object relations psychoanalytic therapy for borderline personality disorder. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 52, Spring.
- Kestenberg, J. (1985). The flow of empathy and trust between mother and child. In: *Parental Influences in Health and Disease*, ed. E.J. Anthony & G.H. Pollack. Boston, MA: Little Brown, pp. 137-163.
- Kiersky, S. & Beebe, B. (1994). The reconstruction of early nonverbal relatedness in the treatment of difficult patients. A special form of empathy. *Psychoanal. Dialog.*, 4: 389-408.
- Kircher, T.T.J., Senior, C., Phillips, M.L., Rabe-hesketh, S., Benson, P.J., Bullmore, E.T., Brammer, M., Simmons, A., Bartels, M., & David, A.S. (2001). Recognizing one's own face. *Cognition*, 78: B1-B5.
- Koenen, K.C., Driver, K.L., Oscar-Berman, M., Wolfe, J., Folsom, S., Huang, M.T., & Schlessinger, L. (2001). Measures of prefrontal system dysfunction in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Brain and Cognition*, 45: 64-78.
- Kohut, H. (1981). On empathy. In: *The Search for the Self*, Vol. 4, ed., P. Ornstein. New York: International Universities Press, pp. 525-535.
- (1971). *The Analysis of the Self*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1977). *The Restoration of the Self*. New York: International Universities Press.
- (1984). *How Does Analysis Cure?* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Koopman, C., Classen, C., & Spiegel, D. (1994). Predictors of posttraumatic stress symptoms among survivors of the Oakland/Berkeley, Calif, firestorm. *Amer. J. Psychiatr.*, 151: 888-894.
- Krystal, H. (1988). *Integration and Self-Healing: Affect-Trauma-Alexithymia*. Hillsdale, NJ: The Analytic Press.
- (1997). Desomatization and the consequences of infantile psychic trauma. *Psychoanal. Inq.*, 17: 126-150.
- Lachmann, F.M., & Beebe, B. (1997). Trauma, interpretation, and self-state transformation. *Psychoanal. & Contemp. Thought*, 20: 269-291.
- Lansky, M.R. (1995). *Posttraumatic Nightmares: Psychodynamic Explorations*. New York: Analytic Press.
- Laub, D., & Auerhahn, N. (1993). Knowing and not knowing massive psychic trauma: Forms of traumatic memory. *Int. J. Psycho-Anal.*, 74, 287-302.
- LeDoux, J. (2002). *Synaptic Self: How Our Brains Become Who We Are*. New York: Viking.
- Lester, B.M., Hoffman, J., & Brazelton, T.B. (1985). The rhythmic structure of mother-infant interaction in term and preterm infants. *Child Develop.*, 56: 15-27.
- Levine, D., Marziali, E., & Hood, J. (1997). Emotion processing in borderline personality disorders. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.*, 185: 240-246.
- Lichtenberg, J. D. (1989). *Psychoanalysis and Motivation*. Hillsdale, NJ: The Analytic Press.
- Lachmann, F.M., & Fosshage, J.L. (1992). *Self and Motivational Systems: Toward a Theory of Psychoanalytic Technique*. Mahwah NJ: Analytic Press.
- Lachmann, F.M., & Fosshage, J.L. (1996). *The Clinical Exchange*. Mahwah NJ: Analytic Press.
- Lieberman, A.S. (1996). Aggression and sexuality in relation to toddler attachment: Implications for the caregiving system. *Infant Mental Health J.*, 17: 276-292.
- Liotti, G. (1992). Disorganized/disoriented attachment in the etiology of the dissociative disorders. *Dissociation*, 5: 196-204.
- (1999). Understanding the dissociative process: the contribution of attachment theory. *Psychoanal. Inq.*, 19: 757-783.
- Lipton, P.A., Alvarez, P., & Eichenbaum, H. (1999). Crossmodal associative memory representations in rodent orbitofrontal cortex. *Neuron*, 22: 349-359.
- Lorberbaum, J.P., Newman, J.D., Horwitz, A.R., Dubno, J.R., Lydiard, R.B., Hamner, M.B., Bohning, D.E., & George, M.S. (2002). A potential role for thalamocingulate circuitry in human maternal behavior. *Biol. Psychiatr.*, 51: 431-445.

- Luria, A.R. (1973). *The Working Brain*. New York: Basic Books.
- Lyons-Ruth, K., & Jacobvitz, D. (1999). Attachment disorganization. Unresolved loss, relational violence, and lapses in behavioral and attentional strategies. In: *Handbook of Attachment: Theory, Research, and Clinical Applications*, ed. J. Cassidy & P.R. Shaver. New York: Guilford Press, pp. 520-554.
- MacLean, P.D. (1985). Evolutionary psychiatry and the triune brain. *Psychol. Med.*, 15: 219-221.
- McFarlane, A.C., & Yehuda, R. (2000). Clinical treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder: conceptual challenges raised by recent research. *Austral. New Zeal. J. Psychiatry* 2000; 34: 940-953.
- Mahler, M.S. (1958). Autism and symbiosis: two extreme disturbances of identity. *Int. J. Psycho-Anal.*, 39: 77-83.
- Main, M., & Solomon, J. (1986). Discovery of an insecure-disorganized / disoriented attachment pattern: Procedures, findings and implications for the classification of behavior. In *Affective Development in Infancy*, ed. T.B. Brazelton & M.W. Yogman. Norwood, NJ: Ablex, pp. 95-124.
- Masterson, J.F. (2000). *The Personality Disorders: A New look at the Developmental Self and Object Relations Approach*. Phoenix: Zeig, Tucker & Co.
- Rinsley, D.B. (1975). The borderline syndrome: the role of the mother in the genesis of psychic structure of the borderline personality. *Int. J. Psychiatry*, 56: 163-178.
- Matsuzawa, J., Matsui, M., Konishi, T., Noguchi, K., Gur, R.C., Bilker, W., & Miyawaki, T. (2001). Age-related changes of brain gray and white matter in healthy infants and children. *Cerebral Cortex*, 11: 335-342.
- Maunder, R.G., & Hunter, J.J. (2001). Attachment and psychosomatic medicine: Developmental contributions to stress and disease. *Psychosom. Medicine*, 63: 556-567.
- McCabe, P.M., & Schneiderman, N. (1985). Psychophysiologic reactions to stress. In: *Behavioral Medicine; The Biophysical Approach*, ed. N. Schneiderman & J.T. Tapp. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp. 99-131.
- McDougall, J. (1989). The dead father: On early psychic trauma and its relation to disturbance in sexual identity and in creative activity. *Int. J. Psycho-Anal.*, 70: 205-219.
- Mesulam, M.-M. (1998). From sensation to cognition. *Brain*, 121: 1013-1052.
- Miller, B.L., Seeley, W.W., Mychack, P., Rosen, H.J., Mena, I., & Boone, K. (2001). Neuroanatomy of the self. Evidence from patients with frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology*, 57: 817-821.
- Mollon, P. (1996). *Multiple Selves, Multiple Voices: Working with Trauma, Violation and Dissociation*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- (2001). *Releasing the Self. The Healing Legacy of Heinz Kohut*. London and Philadelphia: Whurr Publishers.
- Nijenhuis, E.R.S., Vanderlinden, J., & Spinhoven, P. (1998). Animal defensive reactions as a model for trauma-induced dissociative reactions. *J. Traumatic Stress*, 11: 242-260.
- Nakamura, K., Kawashima, R., Ito, K., Sugiura, M., Kato, T., Nakamura, A., Hatano, K., Nagumo, S., Kubota, K., Fukuda, H., & Kojima, S. (1999). Activation of the right inferior frontal cortex during assessment of facial emotion. *J. Neurophysiology*, 82: 1610-1614.
- Ogawa, J.R., Sroufe, L.A., Weinfield, N.S., Carlson, E.A., & Egeland, B. (1997). Development and the fragmented self: Longitudinal study of dissociative symptomatology in a nonclinical sample. *Development and Psychopathology*, 9: 855-879.
- Ornstein, R. (1997). *The Right Mind: Making Sense of the Hemispheres*. New York: Harcourt Brace.
- Palombo, J. (1992). Narratives, self-cohesion, and the patient's search for meaning. *Clin. Social Work J.*, 20: 249-270.
- Panksepp, J. (1998). *Affective Neuroscience: The Foundations of Human and Animal Emotions*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pao, P.-N. (1979). *Schizophrenic Disorders*. New York: International Universities Press.
- Papousek, H., & Papousek, M. (1979). Early ontogeny of human social interaction: Its biological roots and social dimensions. In: *Human Ethology: Claims and Limits of a New Discipline*, ed. M. von Cranach, K. Foppa, W. Lepenies, & D. Ploog. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- & ----- (1997). Fragile aspects of early social integration. In *Postpartum Depression and Child Development*, ed. L. Murray & P.J. Cooper. New York: Guilford Press, pp. 35-53.
- Paris, J. (1995). Memories of abuse in borderline patients: true or false? *Harvard Rev. Psychiatry*, 3: 10-17.
- Perry, B.D., Pollard, R.A., Blakely, T.L., Baker, W.L., & Vigilante, D. (1995). Childhood trauma, the neurobiology of adaptation, and "use-dependent" development of the brain. How "states" become "traits". *Infant Mental Health J.*, 16: 271-291.
- Perry, R.J., Rosen, H.R., Kramer, J.H., Beer, J.S., Levenson, R.L., & Miller, B.L. (2001). Hemispheric dominance for emotions, empathy, and social behavior: Evidence from right and left handers with frontotemporal dementia. *Neurocase*, 7: 145-160.
- Pistole, M.C. (1995). Adult attachment style and narcissistic vulnerability. *Psychoanal. Psychol.* 12: 115-126.
- Pollak, S.D., & Kistler, D.J. (2002). Early experience is associated with the development of categorical representations for facial expressions of emotion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 99: 9072-9076.
- Porges, S.W. (1997). Emotion: an evolutionary by-product of the neural regulation of the autonomic nervous system. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, 807: 62-77.
- Powles, W.E. (1992). *Human Development and Homeostasis*. Madison, CT: International Universities Press.
- Putnam, F.W. (1989). *Diagnosis and Treatment of Multiple Personality Disorder*. New York: Guilford Press.
- (1997). *Dissociation in Children and Adolescents: A Developmental Perspective*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Raine, A., Park, S., Lencz, T., Bihle, S., Lacasse, L., Widom, C.S., Louai, A.-D., & Singh, M. (2001). Reduced right hemisphere activation in severely abused violent offenders during a working memory task: an fMRI study. *Aggressive Behavior*, 27: 111-129.
- Reite, M., & Capitanio, J.P. (1985). On the nature of social separation and attachment. In *The Psychobiology of Attachment and Separation*, ed. M. Reite & T. Field. Orlando, FL: Academic Press, pp. 223-255.
- Ribble, M.A. (1944). Infantile experience in relation to personality development. In: *Personality and the Behaviour Disorders, Vol. II*. London: Roland Press.
- Ricciardelli, P., Ro, T., & Driver, J. (2002). A left visual field advantage in perception of gaze direction. *Neuropsychologia*: 40, 769-777.
- Ross, E.D., Homan, R.W., & Buck, R. (1994). Differential hemispheric lateralization of primary and social emotions. Implications for developing a comprehensive neurology for emotions, repression, and the subconscious. *Neuropsychiatry, Neuropsychology, and Behavioral Neurology*, 7:1-19.
- Rotenberg, V.S. (1995). Right hemisphere insufficiency and illness in the context of search activity concept. *Dynamic Psychiatry*, 150/151: 54-63.
- Ruby, P., & Decety, J. (2001). Effect of subjective perspective taking during stimulation of action: a PET investigation of agency. *Nature Neuroscience*, 4: 546-550.
- Sander, L. (1991). Recognition process: Specificity and organization in early human development. Paper presented at University of Massachusetts Conference, *The Psychic Life of the Infant*.
- Scaer, R.C. (2001). *Trauma, Dissociation, and Disease: The Body Bears the Burden*. New York: The Haworth Press.
- Schiffer, F., Teicher, M.H., & Papanicolaou, A.C. (1995). Evoked potential evidence for right brain activity during the recall of traumatic memories. *J. Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*; 7: 169-175.
- Schore, A.N. (1991). Early superego development: The emergence of shame and narcissistic affect regulation in the practicing period. *Psychoanal. & Contemp. Thought*, 14: 187-250.
- (1994). *Affect Regulation and the Origin of the Self: The Neurobiology of Emotional Development*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- (1996). The experience-dependent maturation of a regulatory system in the orbital prefrontal cortex and the origin of developmental psychopathology. *Development and Psychopathology*, 8: 59-87
- (1997a). Early organization of the nonlinear right brain and development of a predisposition to psychiatric disorders. *Development and*

- Psychopathology, 9: 595-631.
- (1997b). Interdisciplinary developmental research as a source of clinical models. In *The Neurobiological and Developmental Basis for Psychotherapeutic Intervention*, ed. M. Moskowitz, C. Monk, C. Kaye, & S. Ellman. Northvale, NJ: Aronson, pp. 1-71.
- (1997c). A century after Freud's Project: Is a rapprochement between psychoanalysis and neurobiology at hand? *J. Amer. Psychoanal. Assn.*, 45: 841-867.
- (1998a). The experience-dependent maturation of an evaluative system in the cortex. In: K. Pribram (Ed.), *Brain and Values: Is a Biological Science of Values Possible*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, pp. 337-358.
- (1998b). Early shame experiences and infant brain development. In: *Shame: Interpersonal Behavior, Psychopathology, and Culture*, ed. P. Gilbert & B. Andrews. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 57-77.
- (1998c). The relevance of recent research on the infant brain to pediatrics. Unpublished address, Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Pediatrics, Scientific Section on Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, Section Program, Translating neuroscience: early brain development and pediatric practice, San Francisco, CA, October 1998.
- (1998d). Early trauma and the development of the right brain. Unpublished keynote address, C.M. Hincks Institute Conference, "Traumatized parents and infants: The long shadow of early childhood trauma", University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, November, 1998c.
- (1999a). Commentary on emotions: Neuro-psychoanalytic views. *Neuro-Psychoanalysis*, 1: 49-55.
- (1999b). Early trauma and the development of the right brain. Unpublished keynote address, Boston University School of Medicine Conference, "Psychological trauma: Maturation processes and therapeutic interventions," Boston, MA, April, 1999.
- (1999c). The enduring effects of early trauma on the right brain. Unpublished address, Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Symposium, "Attachment, trauma, and the developing mind", Chicago, IL, October, 1999.
- (1999d). Psychoanalysis and the development of the right brain. Unpublished address, The First North American International Psychoanalytic Association Regional Research Conference, "Neuroscience, Development & Psychoanalysis." Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, December, 1999.
- (1999e). The right brain, the right mind, and psychoanalysis. On-line at the website for Neuro-Psychoanalysis, <http://www.neuro-psa.com/schore.htm> 1999.
- (2000a). Foreword to the reissue of *Attachment and Loss*, Vol. 1: Attachment by John Bowlby. New York: Basic Books.
- (2000b). Attachment and the regulation of the right brain. *Attachment & Human Develop.*, 2: 23-47.
- (2000c). The self-organization of the right brain and the neurobiology of emotional development. In: *Emotion, Development, and Self-Organization*, ed. M.D. Lewis & I. Granic. New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 155-185.
- (2000d). Healthy childhood and the development of the human brain. Unpublished keynote address, Healthy Children Foundation Conference, Luxembourg and World Health Organization, Sponsors, Luxembourg, November, 2000.
- (2000e). Attachment, the right brain, and empathic processes within the therapeutic alliance. *Psychologist Psychoanalyst*, XX, No. 4: 8-11.
- (2001a). The effects of a secure attachment relationship on right brain development, affect regulation, and infant mental health. *Infant Mental Health J.*, 22:7-66.
- (2001b). The effects of relational trauma on right brain development, affect regulation, and infant mental health. *Infant Mental Health J.*, 22: 201-269.
- (2001c). The Seventh John Bowlby Memorial Lecture, "Attachment, the self-organizing brain, and developmentally oriented psychoanalytic psychotherapy." *Brit. J. Psychotherapy*, 17: 299-328.
- (2001d). Plenary Address: Parent-infant emotional communication and the neurobiology of emotional development. In *Proceedings of Head Start's Fifth National Research Conference, Developmental and Contextual Transitions of Children and Families: Implications for Research, Policy, and Practice*, pp. 49-73.
- (2001e). Early relational trauma and the development of the right brain. Unpublished keynote address, Joint Annual Conference, Australian Centre for Posttraumatic Mental Health and The Australasian Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, Canberra, Australia, March, 2001.
- (2001f). Regulation of the right brain: A fundamental mechanism of attachment, trauma, dissociation, and psychotherapy, Parts 1 & 2. Unpublished addresses, Conference, "Attachment, Trauma, and Dissociation: Developmental, Neuropsychological, Clinical, and Forensic Considerations," University College of London Attachment Research Unit and the Clinic for the Study of Dissociative Disorders, Sponsors, London, June 2001.
- (2002a). The right brain as the neurobiological substratum of Freud's dynamic unconscious. In D. Scharff (Ed.), *The Psychoanalytic Century: Freud's Legacy for the Future* New York: The Other Press, pp. 61-88.
- (2002b). Neurobiology and psychoanalysis: Convergent findings on the subject of projective identification. In: *Being Alive: Building on the Work of Anne Alvarez*, ed. J. Edwards. London: Brunner-Routledge, pp. 57-74.
- (2002c). The role of the emotional brain: attachment, trauma, and clinical implications. Co-presenter with Joseph Lichtenberg, M.D. Unpublished address, 8th Annual Self Psychology Conference of the Institute of Contemporary Psychotherapy and Psychoanalysis, Washington, D.C., April.
- (2002d). Clinical implications of a psychoneurobiological model of projective identification. In S. Alhanati (Ed.), *Primitive Mental States*, Vol. III: Pre- and Peri-natal Influences on Personality Development. London: Karnac, pp. 1-65.
- (2002e). Dysregulation of the right brain: a fundamental mechanism of traumatic attachment and the psychopathogenesis of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Australian & New Zeal. J. Psychiatry*, 36: 9-30.
- (2002f). The neurobiology of attachment and early personality organization. *Journal of Prenatal & Perinatal Psychology and Health*, 16: 249-263.
- (2003a). The human unconscious: The development of the right brain and its role in early emotional life. In: V. Green (Ed.), *Emotional Developmental in Psychoanalysis, Attachment Theory, and Neuroscience: Creating Connections*. London: Brunner-Routledge, pp. 23-54
- (2003b). Early relational trauma, disorganized attachment, and the development of a predisposition to violence. In M.F. Solomon & D.J. Siegel (Eds.), *Healing Trauma: Attachment, Mind, Body, and Brain*. New York: W.W. Norton, pp. 107-167
- Schuengel, C., Bakersmans-Kranenburg, M.J., & Van Ijzendoorn, M.H. (1999), Frightening maternal behavior linking unresolved loss and disorganized infant attachment. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.*, 67: 54-63.
- Semrud-Clikeman, M., & Hynd, G.W. (1990). Right hemisphere dysfunction in nonverbal learning disabilities: Social, academic, and adaptive functioning in adults and children. *Psychol. Bull.*, 107: 196-209.
- Shalev, A.Y., Peri, T., Canetti, L., & Schreiber, S. (1996). Predictors of PTSD in injured trauma survivors: a prospective study. *Amer. J. Psychiatr.*, 153: 219-225.
- Shin, L.M., McNally, R.J., Kosslyn, S.M., Thompson, W.L., Rauch, S.L., Alpert, N.M., Metzger, L.J., Lasko, N.B., Orr, S.P., & Pitman, R.K. (1999). Regional cerebral blood flow during script-driven imagery in childhood sexual abuse-related PTSD: a PET investigation. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 156: 575-584.
- Siegel, A.M. (1996). *Heinz Kohut and the Psychology of the Self*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Siegel, D.J. (1999). *The Developing Mind: Toward a Neurobiology of Interpersonal Experience*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Solms, M. (1996). Towards an anatomy of the unconscious. *J. Clin. Psychoanal.*, 5: 331-367.
- Spence, S., Shapiro, D., & Zaidel, E. (1996). The role of the right hemisphere in the physiological and cognitive components of emotional

- processing. *Psychophysiology*, 33: 112-122.
- Spezzano, C. (1993). *Affect in Psychoanalysis: A Clinical Synthesis*. Hillsdale, NJ: Analytic Press.
- Stern, D.N. (1985). *The Interpersonal World of the Infant*. New York: Basic Books.
- Sullivan, R.M., & Gratton, A. (1999). Lateralized effects of medial prefrontal cortex lesions on neuroendocrine and autonomic stress responses in rats. *J. Neuroscience*, 19: 2834-2840.
- Trevarthen, C. (1990). Growth and education of the hemispheres. In *Brain Circuits and Functions of the Mind*, ed. C. Trevarthen. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, pp. 334-363.
- (1993). The self born in intersubjectivity: The psychology of an infant communicating. In *The Perceived Self: Ecological and Interpersonal Sources of Self-Knowledge*, ed. U. Neisser. New York: Cambridge University Press, pp. 121-173.
- (1996). Lateral asymmetries in infancy: implications for the development of the hemispheres. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 20: 571-586.
- Tronick, E.Z., & Cohn, J.F. (1989). Infant-mother face-to-face interaction: Age and gender differences in coordination and occurrence of miscoordination. *Child Develop.*, 60: 85-92.
- & Weinberg, M.K. (1997). Depressed mothers and infants: failure to form dyadic states of consciousness. In *Postpartum Depression in Child Development*, ed. L. Murray & P.J. Cooper. New York: Guilford Press, pp. 54-81.
- Tustin, F. (1981). Psychological birth and psychological catastrophe. In: *Do I Dare Disturb the Universe: A Memorial to W.R. Bion*, ed. J. Grotstein. London: Karnac, pp. 181-196.
- Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., De Schonen, S., Crivello, F., Reutter, B., Aujard, Y., & Mazoyer, B. (2002). Neural correlates of woman face processing by 2-month-old infants. *Neuroimage*, 15: 454-461.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (2000). *Child maltreatment 1998: Reports from the States to the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System*. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.
- Valent, P. (1998). *From Survival to Fulfillment. A Framework for the Life-Trauma Dialectic*. Philadelphia, PA: Brunner/Mazel.
- (1999). *Trauma and Fulfillment Therapy: A Wholist framework*. Philadelphia, PA: Brunner/Mazel.
- van der Kolk, B.A. (1996). The body keeps the score. Approaches to the psychobiology of posttraumatic stress disorder. In: *Traumatic Stress: The Effects of Overwhelming Experience on Mind, Body, and Society*, ed. B.A. van der Kolk, A.C. McFarlane, & L. Weisaeth L. New York: Guilford Press, pp. 214-241.
- Hostetler, A., Heron, N., & Fidler, R. (1994). Trauma and the development of borderline personality disorder. *Psychiatric Clin. North Amer.*, 17: 715-730.
- McFarlane, A.C., & Weisaeth, L. (1996). *Traumatic Stress: The Effects of Overwhelming Experience on Mind, Body, and Society*. New York: Guilford Press.
- van Ijzendoorn, M.H., Schuengel, C., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M.J. (1999). Disorganized attachment in early childhood: Meta-analysis of precursors, concomitants, and sequelae. *Development and Psychopathology*, 11: 225-249.
- Van Lancker, D., & Cummings, J.L. (1999). Expletives: neurolinguistic and neurobehavioral perspectives on swearing. *Brain Research Reviews*, 31 : 83-104.
- Wang, S. (1997). Traumatic stress and attachment. *Acta Physiol. Scandinavica, Suppl.*, 640: 164-169.
- Watanabe, S., Miki, K., & Kakigi, R. (2002). Gaze direction affects face perception in humans. *Neuroscience Letters*, 325: 163-166.
- Watt, D. (2000). The dialogue between psychoanalysis and neuroscience: alienation and reparation. *Neuro-Psychoanal.*, 2: 183-192.
- Weil, A.P. (1985). Thoughts about early pathology. *J. Amer. Psychoanal. Assn.*, 33: 335-352.
- Weinberg I. (2000). The prisoners of despair: right hemisphere deficiency and suicide. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 24:799-815.
- Wheeler, M.A., Stuss, D.T., & Tulving, E. (1997). Toward a theory of episodic memory: The frontal lobes and autoeotic consciousness. *Psychol. Bull.*, 121: 331-354.
- Winnicott, D.W. (1958). The capacity to be alone. *Int. J. Psycho-Anal.*, 39: 416-420.
- (1960). The theory of the parent-infant relationship. In *The Maturation Processes and the Facilitating Environment*. New York: International Universities Press, pp. 37-55.
- (1965). On communicating and not communicating leading to a study of certain opposites. In: *The Maturation Processes and the Facilitating Environment*. New York: International Universities Press, pp. 179-192.
- Winston, J.S., Strange, B.A., O'Doherty, J.O., & Dolan, R.J. (2002). Automatic and intentional brain responses during evaluation of trustworthiness of faces. *Nature Neuroscience*, 5: 277-283.
- Wittling, W. (1997). The right hemisphere and the human stress response. *Acta Physiol. Scandinavica, Suppl.*, 640 : 55-59.
- Wolf, E.S. (1988). *Treating the Self: Elements of Clinical Self Psychology*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Zanarini, M.C., Williams, A.A., Lewis, R.E., Reich, R.B., Vera, S.C., Marino, M.F., Levin, A., Yong, L., & Frankenburg, F.R. (1997). Reported pathological childhood experiences associated with the development of borderline personality disorder. *Amer. J. Psychiatry*, 154: 1101-1106.
- Zhang, L.-X., Levine, S., Dent, G., Zhan, Y., Xing, G., Okimoto, D., Gordon, M.K., Post, R.M., & Smith, M.A. (2002). Maternal deprivation increases cell death in the infant rat brain. *Brain Res.*, 133: 1-11. University of California at Los Angeles David Geffen School of Medicine *Psychoanalytic Inquiry*, 2002, 22, 433-484

## Biography

Allan N. Schore is on the clinical faculty of the Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine, and at the UCLA Center for Culture, Brain, and Development. He is author of the seminal volume *Affect Regulation and the Origin of the Self*, and the recently published *Affect Dysregulation and Disorders of the Self and Affect Regulation and the Repair of the Self* (WW Norton). Due to the interdisciplinary nature of his work he has published extensively in the neuroscience, psychiatry, psychoanalysis, developmental psychology, pediatric, and trauma literatures and is on the editorial staff or reviewer of 18 journals including the *Journal of Neuroscience*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, *Attachment & Human Development*, and the *Journal of Analytical Psychology*. Dr. Schore's activities as a clinician-scientist span his practice of psychotherapy over the last 4 decades to his current involvement in neuroimaging research on borderline personality disorder and on the neurobiology of attachment. He is a member of the Commission on Children at Risk for the Report on Children and Civil Society, "Hardwired to Connect." Allan N. Schore, Ph.D. Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences University of California at Los Angeles David Geffen School of Medicine 9817 Sylvania Ave Northridge, Ca 91324 ANSchore@aol.com